

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_117604
Title of the Manuscript:	"Empowering Rural Voices: Unveiling the Dynamics of MGNREGA Beneficiaries through a Social Work Lens"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>this manuscript is important for its detailed and holistic examination of MGNREGA's impact on rural beneficiaries, its emphasis on social work's role in empowerment, and its potential to inform policy and practice in rural development and social work fields. The insights gained from this study can contribute to the ongoing discourse on effective rural development strategies and the empowerment of marginalized communities.</p> <p>Yes this title is good but if you want to chane please choise : Empowering Rural India: Insights from MGNREGA Beneficiaries" "MGNREGA and Empowerment: A Social Work Perspective" "Rural Empowerment through MGNREGA: Voices and Insights" "The Empowerment Journey of MGNREGA Beneficiaries: A Social Work Study" "Unveiling Empowerment in Rural India: MGNREGA's Impact"</p> <p>The study explores the perspectives of MGNREGA beneficiaries, revealing improvements in their communication skills and socio-economic navigation. The findings highlight MGNREGA's transformational potential and the critical role of social work methodologies in enhancing its positive impact. This research delves into the human dimensions that shape empowerment dynamics in rural India.</p> <p>The main shortcomings of this abstract are the lack of clarity regarding focus, methodology, key findings, and implications.</p> <p>Suggestions for improvement</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarify the study's objectives and methodology, such as the aim to explore the enhancement of communication skills and economic empowerment of women through in-depth interviews. 2. Add methodological details, such as the number of participants and data collection methods. 3. Mention key findings, such as the improvement in communication skills and positive changes in gender roles. 4. Include practical implications and recommendations, such as increased training in communication skills within MGNREGA programs and strengthening participatory approaches in social work. <p>With these improvements, the abstract will be more informative and focused.</p> <p>Please follow this ; Results and Discussion: Present each empowerment dimension (social, economic, psychological) in separate sections. Include a brief introduction to each table, explaining what it represents and why it's important. After presenting tables, discuss the key findings and their implications.</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: Clearly explain the statistical tests used (e.g., Shapiro-Wilk, ANOVA, Tukey Post-Hoc). Ensure consistency in presenting statistical results (mean, SD, p-values). Highlight significant findings and their relevance.</p> <p>The references used in this study encompass various significant sources related to the</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGA) and the empowerment of women through this scheme. While these references provide valuable insights, most of the sources are more than five years old, with some even dating back over a decade. Considering the ongoing developments in policy and economic dynamics, it is highly recommended to update the references with more recent studies, particularly from the last five years. Newer references will provide more relevant data and analysis that align with the current context, thereby enhancing the validity and reliability of the research findings.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Based on the language quality, organizational structure, logical coherence, comprehensive research approach, and adherence to academic conventions, the article appears to be suitable for scholarly communication. If the rest of the article maintains these standards and includes necessary academic elements like citations and a detailed methodology, it would likely meet the criteria for scholarly work.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexander Phuk Tjilen
Department, University & Country	Musamus University, Indonesia