

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_112941
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment and characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented African oil bean seed ( <i>Pantaclethra macrophylla</i> ) for probiotic application
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. All sections of the article need improvement both technically and grammatically.</p> <p>2. Yes. But authors could have tried more to justify the title.</p> <p>3. Yes. But need improvement. Mention regarding the importance of natural source of probiotic</p> <p>4. No. manuscript structure can be improved. Lack of proper reviewing before submission to the journal. Not even up to a thesis level. The introduction part should be a brief of your research also provide a brief introduction to each section; it could improve the flow.</p> <p>5. Yes. However, poor presentation may mask the originality of the research. Clarity is needed on specific protocols and procedures, especially in the materials and methods section, to ensure reproducibility and transparency</p> <p>6. No. references are quite old, mainly the references quoted for methods. Recent references are must for the further processing of the article</p> <p>Authors are requested to provide proper naming of microorganism. <i>Listerial monocytogenes</i>.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>NO. Very poor article. Improvement needed throughout the article. The manuscript contains numerous spelling mistakes and grammatical errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript does not meet the standards outlined in the Microbiology Research Journal International guidelines and requires corrections to ensure the quality of the journal is maintained.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ramani Akshay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR- National Dairy Research Institute, India</b>