

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_117297
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Precision Farming in Horticulture: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>Manuscripts are very important for the scientific community. This research paper helps from the aspect of the food industry to increase the shelf life of food</p> <p>Suitable title</p> <p>Abstract - Need to review the abstract writing of this review paper 1. The number of words is only 95 is too few - please refer to the writing format 2. Content Background <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a brief background Purpose <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the main objective, scope and purpose of your paper Methods <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the methodology used Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • key findings, main trends, gaps, or conclusions in the literature Conclusion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conclude with the implications of your findings <p>Writing format should refer to writing a review paper Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background information • Importance of the topic • Objectives and scope Methods <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Search strategy • Selection criteria • Data extraction process Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of findings • Thematic synthesis • Tables/figures (if applicable) Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interpretation of results • Critical analysis • Comparison with existing literature • Implications for the field Conclusion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of key findings • Contributions to the field • Suggestions for future research </p> </p>	

Review Form 1.7

(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript is scientifically acceptable Only 21% of the references used are the latest 5-year references. Need to review the latest reference % based on journal requirements	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The arrangement of the manuscript is acceptable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nor Hairul Bin Palal
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Tun Syed Nasir Syed Ismail, Malaysia