

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_117459
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence in Occupational Health and Safety Risk Management of Construction and Mining Sectors – Advances and Prospects
Type of the Article	<u>Review</u>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the article is suitable in terms of name, but the content of the article is not. Yes, the article is suitable in terms of name. No, In the summary section, the concepts are very confused. First of all, the concept of occupational health and safety should be mentioned. It should then be combined with the concept of artificial intelligence. It would be appropriate to organize it this way. No, There is no relationship between conceptual elements. This tires the reader. Although the title is mining and construction sector, the concepts of gas and oil are also included in the content. <p>The academic language in the article is complex. It should be completely revised.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The sources are up to date, but the article language is bad. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and expression throughout the article are not very good. The language of the article is not understood.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear Editor,</p> <p>I would like to state that I am happy to serve as a referee in the study and contribute to your book. My suggestions for research are as follows: In the summary section, the concepts are very confused. First of all, the concept of occupational health and safety should be mentioned. It should then be combined with the concept of artificial intelligence. It would be appropriate to organize it this way. The language and expression throughout the article are not very good. The language of the article is not understood. There is no relationship between conceptual elements. This tires the reader. Although the title is mining and construction sector, the concepts of gas and oil are also included in the content. The academic language in the article is complex. It should be completely revised. If the study is organized in line with the suggestions, it will gain a more academic dimension. It is not deemed appropriate to publish it in its current form.</p> <p>Best regards</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehtap Solmaz
Department, University & Country	TokatGaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat Health Services Vocational School, Turkey