

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_117258
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Fintech, Mineral Resources, and Social Capital on Climate Quality: A Perspective of Institutional Quality
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The authors study the impact of financial technologies, natural resource rents and social capital on climate sustainability in the context of the intuitive quality of Asian countries. They use the results of the CIPS and CADF models, and also employ a second-generation cointegration test to explore the long-run equilibrium connection between the variables. In addition, the authors apply the cup-FM and cup-BC estimators to perform robustness checks and explore long-run connectivity. The coefficients of the cup-FM model reveal that institutional quality and social capital improve environmental sustainability. In contrast, financial technology and mineral resource rents destroy the environmental quality of Asian economies in the long run.</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francisco Ureña Prieto
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Spain