

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_116795 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Studies on Crop Weather Calendar of Brinjal Crop in Eastern Uttar Pradesh |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes, however, there are some points that need to be clarified, like the growth of crop which is mentioned in the abstract but not reflected in the discussion. No table is found reflecting the growth of crop.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, however, if I have to suggest, correlation on the crop weather calendar to yield and pest occurrence is much better.</p> <p>6. I have seen more references which are obsolete. You may include latest references (at least 5 to 10 years if possible).</p> <p>This research is good. If would suggest, you may include correlation on the crop calendar to yield or pest and diseases incidence which is more scientific.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | English needs improvement. Grammarly score is 71. You may use Grammarly. It is free. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Jeffrey P. Villaver |
| Department, University & Country | School of Agriculture, Forestry and Environmental Studies, J. H. Cerilles State College, Philippines |