

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_116486
Title of the Manuscript:	Advancing RNAi for Sustainable Insect Management: Targeted Solutions for Eco-Friendly Pest Control
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript presents valuable insights into advancing RNAi for sustainable insect management, offering targeted solutions for eco-friendly pest control. It addresses a crucial aspect of agricultural sustainability and holds significance for the scientific community. 2. The title "Advancing RNAi for Sustainable Insect Management: Targeted Solutions for Eco-Friendly Pest Control" effectively encapsulates the manuscript's scope and purpose. It accurately reflects the content and relevance of the study. 3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the manuscript, summarizing the key findings and implications effectively. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript need improvement. Proper sequencing of headings and subheadings, along with clear navigation, is essential for better organization and readability. 5. While the manuscript demonstrates a strong understanding of the subject matter, there are some scientific inaccuracies, particularly regarding formatting and scientific names. These need to be addressed to ensure the scientific integrity of the study. 6. The references provided are adequate, but ensuring the correct formatting, including italicization of scientific names, is necessary. Additionally, incorporating more recent references, if available, could further strengthen the manuscript's credibility. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications, with no significant grammatical errors detected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript shows promise but requires revisions to improve structural organization, accuracy of scientific information, and adherence to formatting guidelines. With these enhancements, it has the potential to make a valuable contribution to the field of sustainable pest management.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Irfan Shan
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Pakistan