

Editor's Comment:

The authors have to perform a comprehensive review before the manuscript can be published in the journal. There are glaring anomalies that make it practically impossible for publishing it in its current state.

General comments

The focus of the study is topical and coherent. It is very rare for a manuscript to obtain such high marks and a verdict of accept as is from reviewers who are themselves leaders in their field. However, the study has weakness that cannot be ignored. The authors have not included references within the text. I propose that they rewrite the manuscript and insert the references within the text before including them in the list. There is no way we can have a reference list, without the references being cited within the text. Once done, I would like to see the manuscript for a final decision. The use of asterisks should also be avoided and instead authors should number the sections.

The readability and flow of the manuscript is interrupted by the subsections which are not coherent. Authors should have one comprehensive introduction that introduces the issues and then followed by the discussion of the issues in subsequent sections.

Introduction

- The introduction should be able to set out the need of the study.
- The introduction should be well written and appropriately referenced.
- The goal of the study is not clear and objectives are not stated

Materials and methods

The materials and methods section appears not to be presented. Although the manuscript seems to be a literature review, authors should describe the methods they have used to collect the described data and how they analysed the same.

Results and Discussion

- These should describe the various data collected from the literature. Authors should be able to compare and contrast the various data found in literature and cite appropriately.

Conclusions

- This should be supported by data and citations.

References

The references cited in the reference list are not cited in the text.

Editorial decision.

The authors should perform a major review and I would like to review the revised manuscript too.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Bonface O. Manono
Extension Professor, Colorado State University, Office of Engagement and Extension, USA And Senior Lecturer, South Eastern Kenya University, Kenya.