

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_117040
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of employment-based contingency on treatment enrollment, retention, and long-term abstinence among individuals with substance use disorder. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Substance use disorders (SUD) is a significant public health issue worldwide leading to various health problems. It has effects on individuals including impaired physical and mental health, social and occupational dysfunction and increased risk of accidents and injuries.</p> <p>2. Yes, the research title has clearly and concisely summarizes the focus of the study. The key components of the study such as treatment enrollment, retention, and long-term abstinence among individuals with substance use disorder. The title reflects the systematic review to examine the employment based contingency on it.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is a structured summary of the review, including objectives, methods, results and conclusions. This research has fulfilled systematic review approach and clearly indicated the nature of the review.</p> <p>4. Yes. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>5. The manuscript was reported by the Protocol for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Based on this method, the researcher has specify the criteria used to select study, the information source, search strategy, study selection, the data collection process, results, discussion and provide a summary of the key findings of the review.</p> <p>6. The researcher has conducted a systematic review and the references has covered from 2012 to 2022, it has fulfilled the requirement of systematic review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>acceptable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good efforts, the table in the manuscript needs adjustment</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lim Seng Poh
Department, University & Country	Penang Matriculation College, Malaysia