

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_117577
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of different Qualities of Light and Phytohormones on Seed Germination, Seedling Growth and Establishment of Papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i>) cv. Pusa Nanha.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No, the author needs to remove the location of the study as it is unnecessary. Rather and important results including data from this study can be added in the final line of the abstract. Additionally, the words in the keywords are same as in title, this is scientifically incorrect.</p> <p>No, the "Locale of Study" and "Observations Recorded" should appear under materials and method rather being a sub heading.</p> <p>No, the author needs to work on the comments and improve the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, but the referencing format is incorrect.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The author is advised to identify and discuss the existing research gap in the field within the introduction section. This should be done prior to articulating the specific aim of the present study.</p> <p>2. Could you provide clarification on the choice of using a single rate of GA in this study? What were the considerations that led to this decision?</p> <p>3. Please specify the number of replications performed for each treatment in the study. This information is crucial for understanding the robustness of the results.</p> <p>4. It would be beneficial to clearly state the statistical analysis methods employed in this study. This will allow readers to better understand how the data was interpreted.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laurence Shiva Sundar
Department, University & Country	Fiji National University, Fiji