Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JABB_117376**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of different level of Chitosan and beeswax edible coating on storage life and physio-chemical properties of strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) cv winter dawn**
Type of the Article	**Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1- Yes, the manuscript is of significant importance as it addresses the critical issue of postharvest fruit preservation, offering insights into sustainable methods to extend the shelf-life of perishable goods. This can have implications for food security, waste reduction, and economic efficiency in the agricultural sector.** **2- The title is suitable as it is descriptive and reflects the study's focus. However, if brevity is desired, a suggested alternative could be: "Enhancing Strawberry Shelf-Life with Chitosan and Beeswax Coatings: A Room Temperature Study."** **3- The revised abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the study's purpose, design, and significant findings succinctly.** **4- Yes, the manuscript appears to have a logical structure, with clear subsections that follow the conventional format of scientific papers. The Introduction sets the stage for the research, providing background information and justifying the study.** **5- The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct** **6- Yes**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes, its good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Tahany Noreldin**
Department, University & Country	**Agriculture Research Centre, Egypt**