

ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	JournalofAdvancesinBiology&Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_116779
TitleoftheManuscript:	STUDIESONGROWTH,DEVELOPMENTANDESTABLISHMENTOFDIFFERENTBAEL(AEGLEMARMELOS L.)VARIETIESUNDERPRAYAGRAJAGRO→CLIMATICCONDITIONS
TypeoftheArticle	ResearchArticle (impressionfrommanuscripttitle)

ReviewForm1.7

PART 1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Important to readers seeking wellness from natural resources. 2. Title is acceptable but can be improved. 3. Abstract requires rewriting: comprehensiveness can be added by drawing qualitative inferences. 4. Lack of appropriateness in structure. Quick glimpses suggest amateur workmanship. 5. Scientific correctness can be enhanced by proper citation of past work to support the derived output. 6. Inadequate literature. <p>It is recommended that the author/s revise the basic structure of a paragraph and organisation. The role of a topic sentence should be maximised to avoid the critical impression of poor writing skill.</p>	
<p>MAJOR REVISION</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Even at the surface level, the present version of the manuscript does not discuss the acquired data. Sudden jump to the conclusion casts doubts on the credibility of the authors.</p> <p>Extensive literacy and academic skills are required to properly present the findings to the global audience.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arniza Ghazali
Department, University & Country	School of Industrial Technology, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia