

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_117477</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of different sources of nitrogen and bio fertilizers on growth and yield of cherry tomato” [Solanum lycopersicum (L.) var. Cerasiforme in polyhouse conditions</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?Yes</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?Yes</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?YES</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes,after making minor corrections</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.Yes</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The present study was conducted to investigate to identify the effects of different combinations of organic and inorganic fertilizers and its role in growth, yield and quality of Cherry Tomato variety Pusa Cherry-1. The experiment was laid in Randomized block design with 9 treatments and 3 replications with different combination in RDF and application of organic nutrition, and concluded that he treatment T9 (50% N through urea + 50% N through FYM + Azotobacter (4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + PSB (4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found to be best in the terms of growth, yield and quality of Cherry Tomato.</p> <p>2. The aim should be written clearly at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>3. The material and methods is brief</p> <p>4. The written results and discussion are quite good and complete.</p> <p>5. Based on the conclusions of the research, the authors need to add a description of suggestions and added value for other researchers or readers</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?Yes</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhia Ahmed Taain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq</b>