

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_116619</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Different Multipurpose Tree Species on Physico - Chemical Properties of Loamy Sand Soil Under Rainfed Conditions</b>
Type of the Article	

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- The manuscript is very important for scientific community.</p> <p>2- The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3- The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5- I think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6- The references in the manuscript are sufficient but most of them (60%) are old (40% of them are recent, 26.67% is old and 33.33% is very old).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1- Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Optional/General comments:</b></p> <p>1- The objectives of the research should be written in the end of the introduction.</p> <p>2- The outlines which have been mentioned in the abstract must be deleted.</p> <p>3- In the two final lines in the introduction, the word has must be changed to have.</p> <p>4- Only one reference has been mentioned in the introduction and this chapter needs to additional references.</p> <p>5- In chapter material and methods, material must be changed to materials.</p> <p>6- The reference Subbiah and Asija 1951, which has been mentioned in the text, its publication year is wrong because it has been mentioned 1959 in the references list.</p> <p>7- The reference Saha <i>et al</i> 2014, which has been mentioned in the text, its publication year is wrong because it has been mentioned 2010 in the references list.</p> <p>8- The reference Datta <i>et al</i> 2007 which has been mentioned in the text, must be changed in the text to Datta and Singh 2007.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mukhtar Abdel Aziz Mohamed Osman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Dongola, Sudan</b>