

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_113123
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between low calorie sweetener consumption pattern, anthropometric indices, and nutritional status among adult and women in Calabar Municipality, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes: The manuscript examines how low-calorie sweetener consumption relates to anthropometric indices and nutritional status in adult women. It aims to clarify the impact of these sweeteners on body weight, BMI, waist circumference, and overall health, providing important insights into adult's nutritional well-being.</p> <p>2. No: Association between low calorie sweetener consumption pattern, anthropometric indices, and nutritional status among adult and women in Calabar Municipality, Nigeria. (adults males and females)</p> <p>3. yes</p> <p>4. yes</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. yes</p> <p>7. The inclusion criteria are poorly defined, with age specified only as above 20, extending to infinity, which undermines the research's authenticity. The health status of individuals is not specified; whether they are healthy or unhealthy remains unspecified. Furthermore, blood pressure and diabetes status are not categorized. There are a total of 12 tables, some of which could potentially be combined for clarity. Additionally, the conclusion section should be more concise.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Satisfactory	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shazia Nazar
Department, University & Country	Dow University of Health Sciences, Pakistan