

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_117598
Title of the Manuscript:	PERIPARTUM HYSTERECTOMY: 5 YEAR REVIEW OF PREVALENCE, TREND, RISK FACTORS AND OBSTETRIC OUTCOMES IN A TERTIARY CENTRE IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes , it is important</p> <p>Yes , it is suitable</p> <p>Yes , the abstract is good</p> <p>Yes it is appropriate</p> <p>Yes it is correct</p> <p>References are ok</p> <p>Introduction : ?? "Peripartum hysterectomy was first proposed in 1896 by Storer but was unsuccessful while the first successful procedure was in 1876 by Porro in Pavia Italy" ??</p> <p>Methods and results are perfectly described</p> <p>Discussion needs some additional comparisons with other data in literature</p> <p>Conclusion has to be related to the outcome of the study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes it is suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suzan Elsharkawy
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt