

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_117511
Title of the Manuscript:	EXAMINING ATTACHMENT STYLES AND ROMANTIC CONFLICT MANAGEMENT SKILLS AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript exploring the relationship between Attachment Styles and Romantic Conflict Management Skills in university students is indeed important for the scientific community. The study's findings provide valuable insights into the impact of attachment styles on the ability to manage romantic conflicts, particularly among college students. The research contributes to the existing body of knowledge on attachment theory and its relevance to relationship dynamics, conflict resolution, and emotional well-being.</p> <p>The title "Examining Attachment Styles and Romantic Conflict Management Skills Among University Students" is suitable for the article. It effectively captures the focus of the study, which centres on investigating the relationship between attachment styles and romantic conflict management skills specifically among university students. The title conveys the targeted scope of the research and aligns with the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract effectively encapsulates the study's objectives, methodology, findings, and practical implications, making it comprehensive and informative for readers seeking an overview of the research.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. The manuscript is well-organized and covers essential aspects of the study, including the rationale, methodology, results, and implications.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, drawing on relevant literature and employing appropriate statistical analyses to investigate the relationship between attachment styles and romantic conflict management skills among university students.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent. The references encompass a diverse range of relevant sources, including seminal works, recent studies, and theoretical perspectives that contribute to the understanding of attachment styles, conflict management, and relationship dynamics.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It would be beneficial to include a section in the study that discusses the ethical considerations and measures taken to ensure the well-being and confidentiality of the participants. This could include details on informed consent, confidentiality protocols, and any potential risks associated with participation. Addressing ethical considerations demonstrates a commitment to the responsible conduct of research and the protection of participants' rights. 2. While the study's focus on attachment styles and conflict management skills is clear, providing a more explicit discussion of the theoretical frameworks that underpin these concepts could enhance the theoretical foundation of the research. Exploring established theoretical perspectives such as attachment theory, social exchange theory, or communication theory can provide a deeper understanding of the mechanisms influencing relationship dynamics and conflict resolution. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript effectively communicates the research focus, methodology, key findings, and recommendations in a clear and scholarly manner. The use of technical terms related to attachment</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	styles, conflict management, and statistical analyses demonstrates a level of academic rigor appropriate for scholarly publications.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article effectively communicates the significance of examining attachment styles and romantic conflict management skills, highlighting the relevance of these factors in addressing relationship challenges and potential emotional damage. The clear articulation of the importance of the study's focus provides a compelling rationale for the research, demonstrating an understanding of the real-world implications of the findings. 2. The use of mean, standard deviation, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, and linear regression analysis demonstrates a robust statistical approach to examining the relationship between attachment styles and romantic conflict management skills. These statistical analyses provide quantitative evidence to support the study's conclusions and contribute to the scholarly rigor of the research. 3. The article's recommendations for institutions to provide workshops on communication, attachment styles, self-awareness, and conflict resolution, as well as access to counselors for guidance, offer practical insights for addressing relationship challenges among college students. These recommendations reflect a proactive approach to supporting healthy relationships and contribute to the practical applicability of the study's findings. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Issah Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Methodist College of Education, Ghana