

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_111119
Title of the Manuscript:	Approximate Solutions For Non-Linear Evolution Stochastic Equations With Variations Of Drift Parameters
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is related to stochastic differential equations with emphasis on variations of drift parameters and it affects on financial markets. The authors tried to solve these problems by adopting the Ito's method of solution and three different investment solutions. The necessary conditions were achieved which govern various drift parameters in assessing financial markets. Therefore, the impressions on each solution of investors in financial markets were analyzed graphically. Finally, the influences of the relevant parameters of stochastic variables were effectively discussed. 2. The title of the article is good. 3. The abstract section should contain answers to the following questions: What problem was studied and why is it important? What methods were used? What are the important results? What conclusion can be drawn from the results? What is the novelty of the work and where does it go beyond previous efforts in the literature? 4. English writing should be improved much. Add the motivation and objective of the current study in the introduction. 5. The results are correct. All formulas should be punctuated. The authors should add the real life applications. T 6. he references section should be revised for this the authors need to add some new references for a better presentation of manuscript. https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6100593, https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15020519, https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6100593, https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15020451, https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15020460 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, it is suitable but there are some grammatical mistakes which authors need to improve.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I recommend this article for publication after this minor revision.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramsha Shafqat
Department, University & Country	Thammasat University, Thailand