

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_116124
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Evaluation of Laboratory-Scale Fluidized Bed Dryer
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ok, Article is good. 2. Title is clear 3. Abstract section is good to see. 4. The subsections are clear. 5. Yes, it is clear and scientifically correct. 6. Yes, they are sufficient 7. The line spacing should be checked once 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I suggest to check the manuscript for English grammar and vocabulary.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Citation should be done properly, Ex: Author Name et al., [Reference No] (Don't start the citation of any author as " According to [22].....) , pl check and alter</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The citation method is good, but some citations like 8, 10,11 are with citation number. Author is advised to change the method as mentioned like as of reference 38. 2. The figure number taken from other source (Fig-1) is not good. PI change. 3. In Table-2, the Units of 8th parameter should be changed, it's a typo error. 4. The author is advised to explain what is Cassava Mash and Garri particle. 5. Add SEM figures for the Garri particle with details, and also to Cassava Mash 6. Advised to add the details of the same of above (size, shape, phase, etc.,) 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yakkaluri Pratapa Reddy
Department, University & Country	KKR & KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences, India