

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_116634</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Clinicians opinion on Depression and role of Escitalopram in the management of depression</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Thanks for authors to paying attention to this important subject. Considering the current high prevalence of depression in the world and the necessity of correct treatment for this disease, the results of the current study may be useful for physicians, psychiatrists, and other health care providers.</li> <li>2. The title is informative. Please use (') after clinicians : <b><u>(Clinicians' opinion)</u></b></li> <li>3. Yes, it's comprehensive and has written in a good structure. I have few comments about abstract that mentioned below (part 7).</li> <li>4. They are good but I mentioned few comments about sub-sections below.</li> <li>5. Yes. Its method is correct however, it has some limitation: a cross-sectional study with non-random sampling method. PLEASE mention these items in the <b><u>Limitation</u></b> section.</li> <li>6. Some of references are out of date (like references of 2, 3, 4). Please replace them with possible new references.</li> <li>7. <b>Please consider these comments to improve the quality of your manuscript:</b>  <b>ABSTRACT</b>            a) In the method section, move the sentence (sampling method) to the 4<sup>th</sup> line (before the sentence: The pretested questionnaire booklet ... ).            b) In the result section, line 7, you started the sentence with a number. Sentences in the English reports usually don't start with numbers.            c) In the result section: .... 58% clinicians..... Please add "of" between these words.            d) How did you conclude Citalopram is cost-effective? Is it based on your findings? Please conclude based on your findings NOT based on available evidence.   <b>INTRODUCTION</b>            a) Introduction has good structure. TRY to replace old references, especially references of the 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph with new ones.            b) Explain more about the gap of your study. You have mentioned that previously a meta-analysis was conducted. Do you want understand the issue deeply by cross-sectional study? How about conducting a qualitative study? Clarify the gap please.   <b>METHOD</b>            a) Mention study design.            b) Move "questionnaire" section to the 3<sup>rd</sup> part of method as follows: 2-participants, 3-questionnaire.            c) How did you determine sample size? Please add more details.            d) Please explain method of sampling in the method. Why did you use convenient sampling?            e) Move the ethical approval to end of the method. Also, please add the ethical CODE.            f) How did you determine the validity of questionnaire? Did you sue CVI / CVR? Please add the indicators of validity. Please explain more about different sections of questionnaire.            g) What were your participant's eligibility criteria? Please add them.            h) Please explain the variables of study.            I) you mentioned pie chart. Where is it? If you don't have pie chart in your report, please remove it from analysis section.   <b>RESULT</b></li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>a) Why did you use figure to report frequency? You can replace them with tables including columns of frequency and percent. Such tables can be more informative than the current figures.</p> <p><b>DISCUSSION</b>  a) Don't begin discussion with the background information (importance of depression etc.). PLEASE mention the aim of the study and <u>your KEY findings</u> in the first paragraph.  b) Try to extend the discussion by adding more studies. Compare your results with the previous studies. Add more evidence and references to this section.</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b>  Please be cautious about using cost-effective (as I mentioned in the abstract).</p> <p><b>OTHER SECTIONS</b>  a) please add a section entitled "limitations" and consider following items:  - mention the cross sectional nature of the study to the limitation section.  - Please mention the non-randomness of sampling method in the limitation. Are the results of the current study generalizable?  b) Please add a section entitled "Implication" and mention your study's application in the community.  c) please add a section entitled "recommendations" and consider following items:  For instance, conducting a qualitative study (in-depth interview with physicians) in the future to assess the issues of Citalopram deeply etc.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable. Please correct the word " <u>counselling</u> " thorough the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript should be re-written in terms of methodology. Please re-structure method section and improve it based on above mentioned comments.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sepideh Harzand-Jadidi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>