

ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ARJA_116279
TitleoftheManuscript:	TOSTUDYTHESOCIOECONOMICCHARACTERISTICSOFMAIZEGROWERINAURANGABADDISTRICTOFMAHARASHTRA
TypeoftheArticle	

ReviewForm1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Isthe manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Isthe title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Overall, very good research to be studied which will give impact to the area which has been studied. However, the following comments could be considered to improve.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes important to the scientific community but please improve as comments in the manuscript. 2. Suggestion DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS ON THE SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF MAIZE GROWER IN AURANGABAD DISTRICT OF MAHARASHTRA AT INDIA 3. The abstract should mention the five elements which I comment in the manuscript. Overall, need to mention what method has been used to analyse the data, what is the main conclusion and recommendation. 4. Could improve on adding the instrument used to collect the data and what is the data that you have as a whole. 5. Moderately scientifically correct. Could improve on explaining how the sample/area/land has been chosen. Data collected, data analysed etc. 6. Please update the references to the updated years (at least 5 years back). 7. Explain more details on method used to analyse the data. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please improve the structure and grammar of your sentences. 2. Please define the abbreviation before you give the abbreviation. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should write the paper as consider that the people from different country will also read. Therefore, certain term or words should be defined and explained. Then the reader will understand better. I have comments in the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noryanti Muhammad
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah, Malaysia