

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_116662
Title of the Manuscript:	Why Family Support Children Returning Hometown to Start a New Business for Rural Revitalization
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important, but it requires completion of some elements and more effort in others.</p> <p>2. The title needs to be reformulated, and some concepts need to be adjusted.</p> <p>(College students returning to their hometown to start a new business from the perspective of family)</p> <p>3- Needs more clarification of the research methodology.</p> <p>4. The manuscript needs more effort in many parts to strengthen the overall rigor and validity of the study., such as theoretical orientation, theoretical and practical importance, defining the objectives and questions more clearly, and the concepts theoretically and procedurally. And more details about the research methodology.</p> <p>5. It needs support in many of the parts previously mentioned.</p> <p>6. There is no doubt that the support suggested in the various parts of the manuscript will add more references to the study, especially if they are recent references.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>By providing constructive guidance, language specialists can pinpoint areas for improvement and offer valuable insights on how to enhance our language skills.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seham Ahmed Alazab
Department, University & Country	King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia