

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_116505
Title of the Manuscript:	On Farm Partial Budget Analysis of Onion (<i>Allium Cepa</i> L.) to the application of Nitrogen and Spacing in Southern of Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, but the language could be made more concise by removing unnecessary words. Consider replacing repetitive uses of 'hence' with stronger transition words that show cause and effect relationships. Here are some options: 'therefore,' 'consequently,' 'as a result,'.</p> <p>Yes Consider combining sections 1.1. Description of the study area, 1.1. Experimental treatments and Field managements, 1.1. Data Collection, and 1.1. Economic Analysis into a single heading titled "Materials and Methods."</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Strengthening the article with recent references is crucial. Readers expect research to be grounded in the latest advancements. By incorporating relevant publications from the past few years, you can significantly elevate the perceived value and impact of your work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to improve language quality.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devegowda S R
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University, India