

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_114602
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Nutrient Intake, Energy Expenditure and Physical Activity Level of Obese and Non-Obese Women
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes article is important to the scientific community</p> <p>Specify the area and study type (Assessing the Nutrient Intake, Energy Expenditure and Physical Activity Level of Obese and Non-Obese Women in Hisar district; a cross sectional study for example)</p> <p>The overall structure is good, hence the abstract is not conclusive of all the study. The abstract contains some results but not others. It should be generalized information and contain only the final result of the overall study (underlined sentence should be in the result or discussion not in the abstract).</p> <p>Yes manuscript is scientifically correct, although a point about healthy food is a barrier for the poor because of it is cost is questionable.</p> <p>References are sufficient and recent</p> <p>I provide suggestions in red in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arwa Alsaber
Department, University & Country	KFSD, Saudi Arabia