

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116101
Title of the Manuscript:	USE OF THE STRAIN BASED FORMULATION TO ANALYZE THE EFFECTS OF WING ELASTIC AXIS POSITION ON THE DYNAMICS OF ONE VERY FLEXIBLE AIRPLANE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript has the potential to be important for the scientific community studying aeroelasticity, particularly for highly flexible aircraft.</p> <p>The title could be more concise: "Analysis of Wing Elastic Axis Effects on a Very Flexible Aircraft Using NFNS_s".</p> <p>The abstract lacks clarity on key aspects and needs to be more informative.</p> <p>The structure is appropriate with sections dedicated to background, methodology, analysis, conclusions, and references. There is logical flow and clear transitions between sections.</p> <p>Yes it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The reference list seems sufficient but not recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language appears technical but mostly understandable. Yes, quality of language/English for article suitable to scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, this manuscript presents valuable research but would benefit from revisions to emphasize the novelty, improve clarity, ensure scientific correctness, and update the references.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bereket Netsanet Bekele
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia