

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_116739
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation and Organoleptic Assessment of Strawberry Jam with Chia Seed for Value-Added Foods
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes. The manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community. Its focus on creating a high-nutrition food product aligns with current trends in health-conscious consumption. As a reviewer, I am eager to contribute positively to this valuable research.</p> <p>2- Not. Formulation and optimization of Chia-Based Strawberry Jam: A Functional Food Innovation</p> <p>3- Scientifically the abstract is not enough good. The author only focused on the introduction of manuscript. The abstract should be formulated by following. A-Objective: clearly state the objective of research. B- explain your methods used to conduct research. C- Summarize Key finding. D- Conclusion. I would highly recommend rewriting your abstract accordingly.</p> <p>4- Yes</p> <p>5- No. The author claimed a high nutrient product but could not mention any analysis or results (proximate analysis, structure analysis or storage study) which are corresponding to this statement. I think so sensory analysis is not enough to claim this statement.</p> <p>6- References are not enough to support this study. I would highly recommend doing some physio-chemical analysis of product. Use ANOVA to compare means across different treatments and Post hoc test after ANOVA to pinpoint which treatments differ significantly.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Overall, the quality of English is good but scientifically some terms are misused like "Fruit and vegetable preservation through food preservation" in this sentence food preservation is general term not a technique or techniques to preserve the food.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jahan Zaib Ashraf
Department, University & Country	University of Foggia, Italy