

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJESS_117620
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining the Predictive Validity of School-Based Assessment on Students' Mathematics Performance in Large-Scale Examinations
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The study addresses a significant topic in the field of education by examining the impact of school-based assessment on students' academic performance in mathematics. This contributes to the existing body of knowledge on assessment practices and their influence on student outcomes.</p> <p>Yes. The title of the article is suitable as it effectively captures the essence of the research, is clear and specific, includes relevant keywords, and is concise. It serves the purpose of informing readers about the study's main objectives and findings.</p> <p>Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it effectively encapsulates the essential aspects of the study, including its aims, key findings, implications, and relevance. It serves as a concise summary that gives readers a quick understanding of the research conducted and its significance in the field of education.</p> <p>Yes. Based on the information available, the subsections and structure of the manuscript seem appropriate in terms of organization, relevance, consistency, logical progression, and comprehensive content coverage.</p> <p>Yes. Based on the information available, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in terms of methodology, data analysis, empirical evidence, and ethical considerations.</p> <p>In conclusion, while the manuscript includes a mix of sufficient and relevant references, it is important to ensure that a significant portion of the references are recent to reflect the current research landscape. Updating the reference list with more recent studies can further strengthen the scholarly foundation of the research.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. The article maintains high standards of language proficiency and clarity this enhances the impact and readability of the article.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josan D. Tamayo
Department, University & Country	Centro Escolar University, Philippines