

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJESS_117620
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining the Predictive Validity of School-Based Assessment on Students' Mathematics Performance in Large-Scale Examinations
Type of the Article	Research paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the main script is important to researchers because it is well organized from the introduction to the conclusion 2. the title of the article is very appealing to researchers because it talks about real-life situations on the ground in India 3. the abstract is not well covered because methods of data collection and the writer did not explain the tools used for analysis in the abstract 4. in the arrangement of the article the author has not followed the right format for writing academic research and he has to include methodology and method of analyzing data 5. Yes but requires revising the content for correction 6. reference is enough 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is standard for scholarly and the writer uses very simple language that the readers can understand	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The author of the research has good information to present to the audience for reading but requires to follow the right format presentation	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adan Maalim Hussein
Department, University & Country	Garissa University, Kenya