

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_116811
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF LEADERSHIP AND WORK ENVIRONMENT ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE MEDIATED BY JOB SATISFACTION AND WORK MOTIVATION IN THE ORGANIZATION OF THE REGIONAL APPARATUS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF PEGUNUNGAN BINTANG REGENCY
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><i>Yes, the manuscript is important, however the variable "leadership" requires further definition since it's a wide area</i></p> <p><i>1) The effect of ethical, strategic, authentic leadership on 2) The topic is too long, some of the sections should be contained in the objectives.</i></p> <p><i>The abstract is not comprehensive. There is need to state what was the study about, why was it necessary, how was it conducted and so forth</i></p> <p><i>There manuscript is scientifically correct though more work is required to make it better, for example – the methodology section.</i></p> <p><i>The methodology section is not clear, hence need to describe how the study was conducted</i></p> <p><i>About half of the references are not recent</i></p> <p><i>There's lack of coherence in the abstract and some sections of the manuscript</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><i>The use of English language is fairly good, though there are some spelling errors that requires to be attended to in the whole document</i></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Collins Owek
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya