

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEE_117196</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Community Perceptions on Environmental Impact Assessment in The Construction of the Toll Road between Solo and New Yogyakarta International Airport</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, the information obtained in this study is vital and can serve as input to policy decision-makers and project implementers to ensure the support of the public in a given project</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract could have presented the highlights of the study's findings instead of presenting figures of respondents' profiles. The author/s may consider including the community perceptions on the environmental impact assessment (EIA) of the proposed project.</p> <p>Since the study is about the community's perceptions of the EIA of the proposed toll road construction project, the subsections of the results and discussion parts may focus on the community's perceptions of the different aspects of EIA. The various approaches conducted in gathering data from the community may be transferred to the methodology part of the study.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript seems more like a project report than a scientific article. The manner of data presentation and discussion lacks scientific vigor in its analysis and interpretation and without reference to previous related studies. The author may consider repackaging the manuscript by incorporating the possible implications of the gathered and presented data. The study stated the use of qualitative approach, but the results were presented quantitatively.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Imee D. Esguerra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bulacan Agricultural State College, Philippines</b>