

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_117256
Title of the Manuscript:	Fintech, Financial Development, and Digitalization derive Environmentally Responsible Economic Recovery during and after the COVID-19 pandemic.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Regarding the impacts of the findings of the study on the economics through using digital tools and programmes, it can be considered important. It is appropriate; however, the researcher can define more about the object of the study in the title instead of using merely the words which describes the variables. The abstract contains some satisfying sentences about the study. It is suggested that the abstract includes few more sentences to explain why this research is necessary, the aims and conclusion sentence can be explained more. It is not suggested to use acronyms in the abstract without indicating the words. The structures and subsections are placed in a correct way. However, it is recommended to add a subheading to describe instruments, Model of the study, and the sample size. Also, in section 4 adding a subheading to discuss about the findings in details is suggested. The current manuscript is scientifically correct. It's better to discuss more about the instruments, the software to evaluate the variables, and the Model with details for each variable in the study. Moreover, it is suggested to compare the results of this study with the findings of other pieces of research and have the discussion part. The references are quite enough and up to dated. Also, it is better to add some studies of the year 2024, regarding the issues in the manuscript. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the language of the study is proper for the scholarly communications. However, the researcher has to report the validity and reliability of the instruments. Please note that when you want to use a table or a figure, a brief explanation for each figure or table should be provided and their numbers should be indicated in the main text. The acronyms' words should be written completely at the first time 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> There's no need to use superscripts for the references. Please follow the guidelines. Also, please omit the numbers for the references. When you want to start a paragraph containing different data as in the last paragraph of the introduction, it is better to use some phrases or sentences to show the conclusion, diversity or complementary of the data. For example, use "Thus, Also, Moreover, However, On the other hand, In addition or etc." You can provide the learners with the Research Question(s) or the hypothesis of the study to have a better view of the objectives of the study. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Kamaie
Department, University & Country	Hafez Institute of Higher Education, Iran