

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_AJARR_117667</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of Self-Efficacy and Personality in Academic Dishonesty of Undergraduate Students: Implication for Corruption</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Manuscripts are important to the scientific community because academic dishonesty can further impact a person's character. Honesty can become a person's capital in protecting themselves from offence in the future.</b></li> <li><b>Alternative title: The Influence of Self-Efficacy and Personality in Undergraduate Students' Academic Dishonesty: Implications for Future Careers.</b></li> <li><b>The abstract must be short, concise, unambiguous. The Introduction in the abstract is only one sentence. Complete the abstract with a clear research objective and include the value of the research results</b></li> <li><b>Not appropriate. The structure is the Introduction (in the Introduction, you only include paragraphs; there is no need to write a theory sub-chapter, which is too long. There is no need to write research questions and hypotheses. Just write the research objectives in the final paragraph of the Introduction. In the Introduction, write what is behind the importance of this research, what is the urgency, and what is the novelty); after the Introduction, go to the method, then to the results, and then on to the discussion. Separate results and discussion.</b></li> <li><b>Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct, but it needs improvement</b></li> <li><b>Many of the references used are from old years. Use references from the last ten years maximum.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>There are still many words that need to be corrected.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Too many keywords</li> <li>In Table 1 Class level, the numbers listed in the table and the information above are not in sync</li> <li>In the research results, there is no need to discuss them according to the research question; just include all the research results tables and briefly explain the highest and lowest results.</li> <li>Separately discuss the results and the discussion. For the discussion, relate the research results to other research articles, whether they are in accordance with theory or not.</li> <li>Have ethical tests been carried out in this research? If so, please include the ethical test number in the method section</li> <li>Have validity and reliability tests been carried out for the question items? If so, explain in the method section</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Intan Azkia Paramitha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muhammadiyah University of Pekajangan Pekalongan, Indonesia</b>