

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_117360
Title of the Manuscript:	Entrepreneurial Behaviour of Sugarcane Growers in Shahpura Block of Jabalpur District, Madhya Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In general this manuscript is quite important for the community, but it would be better to add the latest data for comparison. 2. The title of the article is suitable 3. The abstract of the article is not yet comprehensive. A good and correct abstract consists of five subheadings, namely; explanation of research objectives with research problems; explanation of research methods and relevant tools; describe the main findings of the study; limitations of research and practical value of research. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate 5. In general, the manuscript is scientific, but to improve the quality of the manuscript, it is recommended to add the latest year data for all observed parameters and it will be better if the results can be compared. It is certain that behavior parameters with data observed over a long period of time will give different behaviors. 6. References still need to be added with the latest. Check and re-correct some of the literature citations in the manuscript, some are listed in the manuscript but are not in the list and vice versa. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>To finalize the manuscript suggestions for translation, use a professional translator or provided by the publisher.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agustinus N Kairupan
Department, University & Country	Indonesia