

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_116763   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FERTILIZER PRICES PURCHASED BY FARMERS FROM RYTHU BHAROSA KENDRAS (RBKS) AND OTHER AGENCIES IN ANDHRA PRADESH |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>The manuscript is very crucial for the scientific community as it compares fertilizer prices purchased by farmers from Rythu Bharosa Kendras (RBKs) and other agencies. It also buttresses the need for prompt availability of all the required sets of fertilizers at Rythu Bharosa Kendras since their prices are lower and the transportation cost of fertilizers is lower, especially from the point of purchase to agricultural fields, when compared to fertilizers from other agencies.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable, though the other agencies were not mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive enough as it fails to state the method of data analysis used for the study, give in detail the findings of the paper, and also make recommendations based on the findings of the article.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>None of the names listed in the references were cited in the body of the paper. No reference was cited in the paper.</p> <p>The references are recent</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Yes, though the researcher should thoroughly go through the manuscript and correct various grammatical, writing, spellings, and punctuation errors.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>There was no mention of the "other agencies" used against the Rythu Bharosa Kendras in this manuscript to compare the price of fertilizers in the two districts of Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>The manuscript did not capture the method used for the data analysis, both in the abstract and methodology.</p>  |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Kalu Udo Emeruche</b>                                 |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria</b> |