

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJAAR_117655
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Communication on Livelihood of Tribal Goat Farmers of Kandhamal district in Odisha, India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is okay for scientific community</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract not comprehensive, it lacks basic inputs. The first 3 lines in the abstract should be removed. Abstract starts with the broad objective of the study, followed by the specific objectives, the brief methodology, statistical tools used, brief presentation of major findings, statement of conclusion and a recommendation. Abstract should be in single line spacing and in block. The keywords, goats farming before Odisha please.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structures of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. I suggest name(s) of authors and year of publication be used for citation in the body of write ups instead of using numbers. The author should adopt the APA style of referencing in the reference page.</p> <p>7. Introduction: inadequate back ground and reference citation. Poor reference citation style. Avoid the use of numbers in citation. The author should avoid the use of abbreviations, for example, etc, i.e, e.g all should be written in full.</p> <p>8. Conclusion. The subtitle should be conclusion and recommendations. When making your presentation, clearly separate the two.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. The English language used is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should make all the corrections pointed out before publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rejoice James Mshelizah
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria