

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_117391
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of ideal spacing for the growth and development of BRR1 Dhan27 (needs corection)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. the topic is scientifically important throwing light on the agronomically important parameter.</p> <p>Needs modification as suggested- Determination of ideal spacing for growth and development of Boro rice c.v. BRR1 Dhan 27 (Already indicated in red in the manuscript)</p> <p>Yes , minor changes needed within each subsections.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, But some reference are not in the order marked in red in the attached manuscript. some more reference (1 or 2) may be considered in case of other rice varieties in the results and discussion part.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need some correction, specifically the abstract part is too lengthy, should be concise, some points indicated in the abstract may be considered by the authors</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Scientific name needs correction already indicated in the introduction. Abstract should have been more precise as indicated in the manuscript. However the language needs more concise writing, same lines are repeated (indicated in cross mark in the manuscript)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santanu Gupta
Department, University & Country	Malda College, India