

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

JournalName:	AsianFoodScienceJournal
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AFSJ_117436
TitleoftheManuscript:	Effect of Bambara Groundnut Flour Inclusion on the Functional, Pasting, Physical and Proximate Properties of Composite Cassava-bambara Flour (Vignasubterranea)
TypeoftheArticle	Original ResearchArticle

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community. It deals with the breadmaking potential of composite flours produced from local products such as HQCF and Bambara nuts. The impact of the inclusion of bambara nut flour on the functional, doughy, physical and proximal properties of the cassava-bambara composite flour was then evaluated. For the formulation, the authors used a design of experiment (DOE) that led to 8 trials of composite flours. The use of such design of experiments is highly original and contributes to the quality of the article. In addition, the significant results include the order of suitability of flour blends for baking, taking into account the effect on the properties evaluated, in particular baking strength. 2. The title of the article is suitable, informative and clear. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, well-done and clear. 4. Subsections and structure are appropriate in this paper. However, we suggest in the subsection 2.7. the following structure: 2.7. Physical Properties of the Flour Blends: Color Attributes of the Composite Flour Or 2.7. Color Attributes of the Composite Flour 5. This manuscript is scientifically correct according to us. 6. The manuscript contains a sufficient number of relevant references. All references mentioned in the references section are cited in the text and vice versa. Nevertheless, this reference section should be rewritten following the author's instructions. We suggest this reference: Diallo et al. (2015). Diallo et al. (2015) mention that the use of Bambara groundnut in composite flours improves the protein, ash, fibre and fat content of the derived products. Reference: Diallo et al. (2015). Fortification et substitution de la farine de blé par la farine de Voandzou (Vigna subterranea L. verdc) dans la production des produits de boulangerie. International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research. 2015; 18(2): 434-443. French 7. You must specify the software used to draw up this experimental design, including the experimental area or domain. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is suitable for scholarly communications according to us.</p>	

ReviewForm1.7

Optional/General comments	The contribution is original. The introduction clearly sets out the problem, the relevant literature on the subject and the proposed approach or solution. In addition, the experiments are carried out correctly. The results are adequately discussed and interpreted. The conclusions are clear and supported by the results. However, the sections "Competing interests" and "Authors' contributions" do not appear in the manuscripts submitted for analysis. They should appear in the revised version in accordance with the authors' instructions. Finally, the importance of repetition of some formulations in the experimental design did not appear clearly.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anauma Casimir Koko
Department, University & Country	Jean Lorougnon Guede University, Côte d'Ivoire