

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJSSSE_117291</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Descriptive Study to assess the knowledge on understanding the right to development as human rights Among the people of Noida, Uttar Pradesh.</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The manuscript is not communicating anything new to the scientific community. It is based on general information from what was written in other publications. It is also confusing in that in the initial parts the author's language is that of proposing to conduct a research and the findings do not match the topic. The findings are generic and not specific to the people of Noida</p> <p>It is a good topic but with a slight improvement. I am proposing ' <i>Descriptive Study to assess the knowledge and attitudes on understanding the right to development as human rights Among the people of Noida, Uttar Pradesh</i>'. <i>The content does not match the title</i></p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive enough especially on the results section. The results are not well articulated and cannot be understood. The methodology lacks ethics consideration and yet this a human rights based research. The author has not edited his work</p> <p>The subsections are okay but some of the content in the structure do not follow the guidelines for manuscript</p> <p>The definitions and explanations about human rights is correct however there is no clear evidence of research about knowledge of understanding of development as human rights among people of Noida. Development is a wide and so is human rights. What specific issues is the author trying to research on The author keeps referring to MDGs and yet we are in the era of the SDGs</p> <p>The references are up to date. However the citations within the body of the manuscript do not match the references. The author quotes international legislations and commitments which are not included in the references. Some citations have not been done well e.g <i>U.O. Umozurike et al, on Human right and development This article examines the evolution of human rights in conventional international law and Judith Torney Purta, Britt Wilkenfeld, Carolyn Barber et al, conducted research study on "How Adolescents in 27 Countries Understand, Support, and Practice Human Rights" located in the discussion</i></p> <p>The topic is good and I feel that the author missed on a good opportunity to add new knowledge to the scientific community especially now that issues of human rights and development are very critical. What is their perception about development versus human rights? What aspects of development is the author trying to study?( Is it economic, political, social?) The author also concentrated on demographics which do not tells us about knowledge and perception. A qualitative study would have conducive for this research or a triangulation. I do not understand why the author decided to use purposive sampling. Overall I feel that the researcher had a good idea but did not explore it as expected. I can confidently say I do not know anything about this group of people which was my expectation</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>On the whole the language is relatively okay for scholarly communications. However there was need for editing as I noticed a lot of repetitions and</p> <p><b>Good topic but the researcher missed an opportunity to conduct a good study. Therefore it requires major serious revision. It is very shallow</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jacquelyne Nnassuna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nairobi, Kenya</b>