

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_117432
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrochemical experimental analysis of different coatings
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Needs improvement</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Needs major improvement</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Needs improvement</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Check the paper for grammatical errors and punctuation marks by using commercially available software, it requires major changes as some sentences are of three or four words only.</p> <p>2. Use the word calibrated instruments.</p> <p>3. Map the title with abstract - methodology - results & discussion - conclusion.</p> <p>4. Use the precise value of every properties with SI units used in the research rather than using of vague words, i.e. high, low, etc.</p> <p>5. Include the latest papers for the literature review and also cite them in appropriate places.</p> <p>6. Give the meaningful conclusion, preferably in tabular-column form.</p> <p>7. The figures need improvement in terms of dpi and findings in discussion section.</p> <p>8. The science behind the finding needs to be discussed at appropriate place.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manojkumar Sheladiya
Department, University & Country	Atmiya University, India