

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_116872</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Water Vapour Permeability of Some Packaging Materials Used for Agricultural Produce</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The manuscript is important for scientific community in the water vapour permeability of some packaging materials used for agricultural produce. Food or grain packaging is especially significant and requires careful attention.</b></li> <li>2. <b>The title of the article is suitable.</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</b></li> <li>4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Comment for Ms_JSRR_116872 is listed as follows,</b>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In section <b>Abstract</b>, please denote the “<b>NTFP</b>”, e.g. n... t... f... p... (<b>NTFP</b>).</li> <li>For the secondly used and after in the paragraphs, the denotation didn't repeat. In section <b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>, please change the “<b>The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) or moisture vapor transmission rate (MVTR)</b>” into the “<b>The WVTR or moisture vapor transmission rate (MVTR)</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>2.2.3 Water vapor permeability</b>, please change the “<b>The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) or water vapor</b>” into the “<b>The WVTR or water vapor</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>3.3 WATER VAPOR PERMEABILITY</b>, please change the “<b>The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) or water</b>” into the “<b>The WVTR or water</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>2.2.3 Water vapor permeability</b>, please change the “<b>low-density polyethylene (LDPE)</b>” into the “<b>LDPE</b>”.</li> <li>In section <b>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>, please change the “<b>low-density polyethylene (LDPE)</b>” into the “<b>LDPE</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>.3 WATER VAPOR PERMEABILITY</b>, please change the “<b>low-density polyethylene (LDPE)</b>” into the “<b>LDPE</b>”.</li> <li>In section <b>4. CONCLUSION</b>, please change the “<b>low-density polyethylene (LDPE)</b>” into the “<b>LDPE</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>2.2.3 Water vapor permeability</b>, please change the “<b>polypropylene (PP)</b>” into the “<b>PP</b>”.</li> <li>In section <b>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>, please change the “<b>polypropylene (PP)</b>” into the “<b>PP</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>2.1 MATERIALS</b>, please change the “<b>earthen pot (EP)</b>” into the “<b>EP</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>2.2.3 Water vapor permeability</b>, please change the “<b>earthen/clay pot</b>” into the “<b>EP</b>”.</li> <li>In section <b>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>, please change the “<b>earthen/clay pot</b>” into the “<b>EP</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>3.3 WATER VAPOR PERMEABILITY</b>, please change the “<b>earthen pot (EP)</b>” into the “<b>EP</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>2.2.3 Water vapor permeability</b>, please change the “<b>polypropylene woven sack (PPWS)</b>” into the “<b>PPWS</b>”.</li> <li>In section <b>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>, please change the “<b>polypropylene woven sack (PPWS)</b>” into the “<b>PPWS</b>”.</li> <li>In subsection <b>2.2.3 Water vapor permeability</b>, please change the “<b>gunny sack (GS)</b>” into the “<b>GS</b>”.</li> <li>In section <b>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>, please change the “<b>gunny sack (GS)</b>” into the “<b>GS</b>”.</li> <li>In section <b>4. CONCLUSION</b>, please change the “<b>gunny sack (GS)</b>” into the “<b>GS</b>”.</li> <li>In eq.(1), please check the units in the two sides of expression, e.g. use the same unit of length, e.g. “<b>m</b>”.</li> <li>In eq.(2), please change the “<b>(dw/dθ)</b>” into the “<b>dw/dθ</b>”.</li> <li>In Fig. 3, please denote the parameters “<b>x</b>” and “<b>y</b>” for the firstly used in the paragraphs.</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. <b>The references are sufficient and recent.</b></li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	None.	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>C.C. Hong</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hsiuping University of Science and Technology, Taiwan</b>