

Water Vapour Permeability of Some Packaging Materials Used for Agricultural Produce

Abstract

The properties of any packaging material are essential parameters to know before storage of any kind of food materials. Physical properties are prerequisites for selecting proper packaging materials to obtain the desired shelf-life during its storage and distribution chain. At the village/household level for packaging of food grains and other NTFP commodities generally used packaging materials namely, low-density polyethylene (LDPE), polypropylene (PP), earthen pot (EP), polypropylene woven sack (PPWS), and gunny sack (GS) were evaluated for their physical properties such as its strengths (grammage and thickness) and water vapor permeability using the standard gravimetric method. The thickness was determined to be 0.065 mm, 0.056 mm, 0.168 mm, 3.530 mm, and 0.849 mm for LDPE, PP, PPWS, EP, and GS respectively. Similarly, grammage was found to be $6.03 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g m}^{-2}$, $4.94 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g m}^{-2}$, $9.59 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g m}^{-2}$, and $3.70 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g m}^{-2}$ for LDPE, PP, PPWS, and GS respectively. Results revealed a significant difference between the permeability of the packing materials. The highest water vapor permeability of $7.26 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ was obtained for GS, whereas the lowest $1.81 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ was for LDPE at $40 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ temperature and $90 \pm 1\%$ relative humidity. The water vapor permeability of other packaging materials viz., PP, PPWS, and EP was $2.18 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$, $3.63 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$, and $5.03 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ respectively.

Key words: Permeability, Storage, Grammage, Packaging materials, Thickness, WVTR

1. INTRODUCTION

Food grains like cereals, oilseeds, legumes and other products collected from forest areas are essential to mitigate the daily food requirements of humankind. These are the most commonly stored durable food commodities in the tropic and subtropics usually stored to provide food and feed reserves as well as seed for planting. The major grain crops cultivated in tropics and subtropical nations are rice, maize, wheat, sorghum, cowpea, soybean, pigeon pea, kidney bean, mung bean, black gram, and lentil [1]. One of the biggest concerns in food grain storage is its quality deterioration by the penetration of moisture, oxygen, insect pests, and to a lesser degree, organic vapor. Considerable amount of food grains is being spoiled after harvest due to lack of sufficient storage facilities [13].

Packaging plays a key role in storage, product's safety from the external environment. In other words, the packaging material must contain excellent barrier properties against the transfer of different permeant such as moisture, gases, and lipids across the packaging material [4, 14]. Using efficient, appropriate packaging material secures and preserves food/grain stuff from outside contamination and safeguards food security by reducing post-harvest losses during storage.

Storage of food grains in unorganized sector *i.e.*, in rural areas is mainly traditional. The traditional methods are being used from many years with little or no modification and are successful because of the application of scientific principles, though unawares. The selection of a traditional storage system by an ethnic group is often related to climate, local natural resources, and customs also influence the choice of storage methods and materials [10]. Storage of grain and other food products in locally available packaging materials like polyethylene bags, jute bags, plastic bags and earthen pots are common practice at the farm and village level. In storage, strength, thickness and water vapor permeability rate of


37 packaging materials are very important in determining the quality of packed food products and grains
38 during the storage period. Quality of the packaged product/food grains during storage is correlated to the
39 above factors of packaging material.

40
41 Oxygen and moisture content/water activity are the major deterioration causing factors of any food
42 material. The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) of storage bags/packaging materials can be used to
43 evaluate permeability and study the transfer of moisture towards the packaged material and vice versa
44 during storage. Permeability is a measure of a material's ability to transmit liquids, gases, and vapors (*i.e.*,
45 the permeant) through the material [9]. The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) or moisture vapor
46 transmission rate (MVTR) is basically the mass of water vapor, transmitted through a measured area in a
47 specific unit of time under specified conditions of temperature and humidity, hence these parameters of
48 packaging materials are used to determine the shelf life of the stored product during storage and
49 transportation. The objective of the experiment was to examine the water vapor transmission rate and
50 strength (thickness, grammage) of packaging materials generally used in rural areas of India.

51 52 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

53 54 **2.1 MATERIALS**

55
56 Packaging materials namely, low-density polyethylene (LDPE), polypropylene (PP), earthen pot (EP),
57 polypropylene woven sack (PPWS), and gunny sack (GS) were selected according to their local
58 availability, and cost-effectiveness. Packaging materials *viz.*, GS, LDPE, PPWS, and PP were cut into 10
59 cm² small size piece for experimental work as shown in Fig. 1. An accurate measurement was taken by
60 using a standard measuring scale (resolution 1 mm). These accurately square-pieced packaging
61 materials were used for the determination of physical properties.



62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72 **Fig. 1.** Packaging materials in 10 cm² size (a) Gunny sack (b) Low-density polyethylene
73 (c) Polypropylene woven sack (d) Polypropylene

74 75 **2.2 DETERMINATION OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF PACKAGING MATERIAL**

76
77 Physical properties of the selected packaging materials like thickness, gram per square meter (GSM), and
78 permeability were determined. For each parameter sufficient numbers of replications were taken in order
79 to minimize the experimental errors.

80 81 **2.2.1 THICKNESS**

82 A digital micrometer screw gauge (Make: Mitutoyo) having a resolution of 0.001 mm was used to measure
83 the thickness. Samples (10 cm² size) were placed between anvil and spindle, and corresponding readings
84 were noted for each packaging material [2].

85 86 **2.2.2 Grammage**

87

88 The weight of the packaging material samples (10 cm² size) was determined using a laboratory model
 89 analytical balance (Make: Shimadzu, Model: ATX224) having the least count of 0.0001 g, and grammage
 90 (gram per square meter) was calculated according to the following relationship stated as below [6].
 91

$$\text{Grammage (g m}^{-2}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Weight of sample (g)} \times 1000}{\text{Area of sample in cm}^2} \quad \dots (1)$$

92
 93 **2.2.3 Water vapor permeability**

94
 95 This gives an indication of resistance to water absorption (normally referred as cobb value). The water
 96 vapor transmission rate (WVTR) or water vapor permeability of the packaging films was determined using
 97 the standard gravimetric method [5].
 98

99 Ten gram of dehydrated silica gel desiccant was placed inside small glass beaker without spout (as glass
 100 has no permeability), and the beaker's mouth was properly covered by the packaging materials selected
 101 for the experiment. All beakers were kept inside the desiccator maintained at 40 ± 1°C temperature, and
 102 90 ± 1% relative humidity with the help of saturated salt solution of potassium nitrate (KNO₃) as shown in
 103 Fig. 2. Weight of the beakers filled with silica gel desiccant was recorded regularly at a time interval of 24
 104 h, and continued up to 10 days. The cumulative moisture gain by silica gel in beakers covered with the
 105 packaging materials viz., polypropylene (PP), low-density polyethylene (LDPE), earthen/clay pot (EP),
 106 polypropylene woven sack (PPWS), and gunny sack (GS) was calculated.
 107



108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133 The rate of water vapor transmission *i.e.*, slope

Fig. 2. Experimental setup for determination of water vapor permeability of packaging materials
 (dw/dθ) was determined through regression [8]. The water vapor permeability, K (kg m⁻² day⁻¹ Pa⁻¹) of the
 packaging material was computed by using the following relationship:
 134
 135
 136

$$K = \frac{(dw/d\theta)}{A_p P^* R_h} \quad \dots (2)$$

137 Where,

$dw/d\theta$ = Slope of straight-line plot between the time θ (day) and mass of moisture gain w (kg) by silica gel kept inside the packaging material

A_p = Surface area of the packaging material (m^2)

P^* = Saturated vapor pressure of water at 40°C is 7375.02 Pa

R_h = Relative humidity of the storage environment (fraction)

138

139 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

140

141 One major function of packaging material is the formation of a barrier between the foodstuffs and the
142 environment which protects it from physico-chemical and microbial deterioration. Five types of packaging
143 materials namely, polypropylene (PP), low-density polyethylene (LDPE), earthen/clay pot (EP),
144 polypropylene woven sack (PPWS), and gunny sack (GS) were used to execute the present investigation.
145 The results of the physical properties of packaging materials are given in Table-1 and described under
146 the following sub-headings.

147

148

Table-1: Physical properties of the packaging materials

S. No.	Packaging material	Thickness (mm)	Grammage (gm^{-2})	$dw/d\theta$ ($kg\ waterday^{-1}$)	A_p (m^2)	K ($kg\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}\ Pa^{-1}$)
1.	LDPE	0.065	6.03×10^{-5}	5.0×10^{-5}	4.15×10^{-4}	1.81×10^{-5}
2.	PP	0.056	4.94×10^{-5}	6.0×10^{-5}	4.15×10^{-4}	2.18×10^{-5}
3.	PPWS	0.168	9.59×10^{-5}	1.0×10^{-4}	4.15×10^{-4}	3.63×10^{-5}
4.	EP	3.530	--	3.0×10^{-4}	8.98×10^{-4}	5.03×10^{-5}
5.	GS	0.849	3.70×10^{-4}	2.0×10^{-4}	4.15×10^{-4}	7.26×10^{-5}

149 Where, $dw/d\theta$ = Slope of straight-line plot between the time θ (day) and moisture weight w (kg) of the silica gel kept
150 inside the experimental setup; A_p = Surface area of the packaging material; K = Water vapour permeability of the
151 packaging material

152

153 3.1 THICKNESS

154

155 An accurate measurement of thickness is crucial for characterization to check whether the film or
156 packaging material meet certain specifications for storing a particular product. The thickness affects the
157 permeability and mechanical strength of films, and it also affects the product shelf life during storage. So,
158 it is important to measure the thickness [7]. The average values of thickness of the packaging materials
159 were calculated to be 0.065 mm, 0.056 mm, 0.168 mm, 3.530 mm, and 0.849 mm for LDPE, PP, PPWS,
160 EP, and GS respectively. Similar findings have been reported for grain storage bags thickness of 0.15-0.18
161 mm and 1.12 mm for polypropylene and jute bags respectively [12].

162

163 3.2 GRAMMAGE

164


165 Papers and films are purchased on weight basis and any deviation from the prescribed weight indicates
166 the variation in the material. Most physical properties such as bursting strength, thickness is specified in
167 accordance with a particular basis weight or bulk. Grammage specifies the mass of a unit area of a sheet
168 of packing material and it is expressed in grams per square meter, usually it is used to determine the
169 strength of the packaging materials. The average values of grammage of the packaging materials were
170 calculated to be $6.03 \times 10^{-5} gm^{-2}$, $4.94 \times 10^{-5} g m^{-2}$, $9.59 \times 10^{-5} g m^{-2}$, and $3.70 \times 10^{-5} g m^{-2}$ for LDPE, PP,
171 PPWS, and GS respectively. Similar investigation has been reported for the grammage value of 18.20 g
172 m^{-2} for oriented polypropylene film with thickness of 20 μm , and 69.25 $g m^{-2}$ for multilayer material with a
173 coated paper as a layer and polyethene as an inner layer with a thickness of 72 μm [3].

174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190

3.3 WATER VAPOR PERMEABILITY

In the selection of suitable packaging materials for a particular food or grain, the focus is typically on the permeability properties of the packaging material. The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) or water vapor permeability is very important in food packaging and storage.

A critical function of flexible packaging materials is to keep dry products dry and moist products moist. Without protective packaging, products will quickly gain or lose moisture until they are at equilibrium with the environmental relative humidity. At this point, crispy products are soggy, and chewy products are hard and dry. WVTR is the standard measurement by which films are compared for their ability to resist moisture transmission. Lower values indicate better moisture protection. With the water vapor transmission rate testing, effective quality control of food can be guaranteed so as to improve the storage, transportation and shelf-life results and prolong shelf-life span of the product. The water vapor permeability measurement was performed by placing a water-absorbent in the glass beakers using the standard gravimetric method under accelerated conditions *i.e.*, at a temperature level of $40 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, and at a relative humidity of $90 \pm 1\%$.



191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207

Fig. 3: Cumulative moisture gains by silica gel desiccant through different packaging materials with respect to the storage time under accelerated condition

The experimental setup of earthen pot (EP) exhibited the highest moisture absorption by the silica gel desiccant whereas the lowest moisture gain was observed in the case of low-density polyethylene (LDPE) over ten days period of storage. Slope of straight-line plot between the time (day) and cumulative moisture gain (kg) of the silica gel of different packaging materials were determined from linear regression equations as expressed in the Fig. 3. The slopes determined were 3.0×10^{-4} , 6×10^{-5} , 5×10^{-5} , 1.0×10^{-4} , and 2.0×10^{-4} kg water day^{-1} for EP, PP, LDPE, PPWS, and GS, respectively. The water vapour permeability of selected five packaging materials were determined using the Eq. (2). The water vapour permeability determined were $1.81 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$, $2.18 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$, $3.63 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$, $5.03 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$, and $7.26 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ for LDPE, PP, PPWS, EP, and GS, respectively. The lowest value $1.81 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ of water vapour permeability obtained for the packaging material low-density polyethylene and the highest value $7.26 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ obtained for the packaging material gunny sack. [11] reported the water vapour permeability of 4.7×10^{-5}

208 $\text{g m}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ with no significant difference for the polypropylene bags (PP-Clear and PP-Opaque) and
209 $6.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g m}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ for jute bags at temperature level of 25°C, and at a relative humidity of 65%.

210

211 4. CONCLUSION

212

213 The quality of the packing and packaging material is critical for all products, but food or grain packaging is
214 especially significant and requires careful attention. Numerous quality criteria must be adhered to when
215 packaging food/grain, including nutritional compositions, anti-microbial activity, protection from harmful
216 contaminations, and moisture management properties. This study was aimed to evaluate some physical
217 characteristics of five locally available food packaging materials used for grain storage at the
218 household/village level. The water vapor permeability performance of the packing materials is largely
219 affected with the effects more pronounced in the gunny sack (GS) and less in the low-density
220 polyethylene (LDPE), indicates that the dried grain and other food products can be stored safely for long
221 time in LDPE. This study has provided useful information on the interaction of water vapor permeability of
222 storage bags/packing materials.

223

224

225 REFERENCES

226

- 227 1. Asif M, Rooney LW, Ali R, Riaz MN. Application and opportunities of pulses in food system:a review.
228 *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 2013;53(11):1168-1179.
- 229 2. ASTM F2251-13.Standard test method for thickness measurement of flexible packaging material.
230 *ASTM International, West Conshohocken*.www.astm.org. 2018.
- 231 3. Balaban P, Viduka D, Ristic V, Maksin M, Radic V, Vladisavljevic R, Vulic M, Josimovic M,
232 Radivojevic NZ. Mechanical and barrier properties of flexible packaging materials after the flexo
233 printing process. *Journal of the National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka*. 2021;49(4):513-523.
- 234 4. ChengH, Xu H, McClementsDJ, Chen L, JiaoA, Tian Y, Miao M, JinZ. Recent advances in intelligent
235 food packaging materials: principles, preparation and applications. *Food Chemistry*.
236 2022;375:131738.
- 237 5. Figural, Teixeira AA. *Food physics: physical properties-measurement and applications*. Springer
238 Science & Business Media. 2007.
- 239 6. ISO 12625-6. *Tissue paper and tissue products-Part 6: Determination of grammage*. 2016.
- 240 7. Jayan H, Moses JA, Anandharamakrishnan C. Testing methods for packaging materials. In: Ahmed,
241 S. (eds) *Bio-based Materials for Food Packaging*. Springer, Singapore. 2018.
- 242 8. Jena Sujata, Das H. Shelf-life prediction of aluminum foil laminated polyethylene packed vacuum
243 dried coconut milk powder. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2012; 108(1): 135-142.
- 244 9. Keller PE, Kouzes RT. *Water vapor permeation in plastics (no. pnnl-26070)*. Pacific Northwest
245 National Lab. (PNNL), Richland, WA (United States). 2017.
- 246 10. Kiruba S, DasSathiadas, Sam Manohar,PapadopoulouSmaragdi. Prospects of traditional seed
247 storage strategies against insect infestation adopted by two ethnic communities of Tamil Nadu,
248 Southern Peninsular India. *Bulletin of Insectology*. 2006; 59(2): 129-134.
- 249 11. Omodara MA, McneillSG, Montross MD. Water vapor permeability of bag materials used for corn
250 storage. *CIGR Journal, VI-Postharvest Technology and Process Engineering*. 2021;23(4):329-340.
- 251 12. Omodara Michael A, MontrossMichael D, McNeillSamuel G, Agbali Francis A, Turner Aaron P.
252 Permeability of grain storage bags. *Conference on Annual International Meeting of America Society
253 of Agricultural and Biological Engineers*. 2017.
- 254 13. Singh RKP, Satapathy KK. Zero energy cool chamber: a low-cost storage structure. *Journal of the
255 North Eastern Council*. 2003; 23(3):27-30.
- 256 14. WeligamaThuppahigeVT, Karim MAA.Comprehensive review on the properties and functionalities of
257 biodegradable and semi biodegradable food packaging materials. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food
258 Science and Food Safety*. 2022; 21:689–718.