

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JOCAMR_115518</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>The Relationship between Smoking and Obstructive Sleep Apnea (Osa) in Students at the Faculty of Law, Indonesian Christian University Class 2018-2021</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the relationship between smoking and OSA. So, it is a useful study for the target population and the community in general.</p> <p>The Title can be shortened as the exact sample description need not be mentioned in the title.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is fine. However, the language could be improved.</p> <p>The research methodology section can be categorised in a better way to make the important information more visible.<br/>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient. However, more recent references can be included.</p> |  |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>  | <p>The quality of English can be improved overall.</p>   |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |  |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                              |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Pranav Kumar</b>          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>DIT University, India</b> |