

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

JournalName:	JournalofEngineeringResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JERR_117473
TitleoftheManuscript:	BridgingtheDigitalDivide:ExploringtheRoleofArtificialIntelligenceandAutomationinEnhancingConnectivityin DevelopingNations–AComprehensive ReviewofLiterature
TypeoftheArticle	

ReviewForm 1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript titled "Dynamics of the Digital Workforce: Assessing the Interplay and Impact of AI, Automation, and Employment Policies" appears to be significant for the scientific community, particularly in the fields of artificial intelligence (AI), automation, and employment policies. By exploring the intricate relationship between these factors, the study likely sheds light on crucial dynamics shaping the future of work in an increasingly digitized world. Understanding how AI and automation intersect with employment policies is essential for policymakers, businesses, and researchers seeking to navigate and harness the potential of technological advancements while addressing potential challenges such as job displacement and workforce transformation. Therefore, this manuscript likely offers valuable insights and contributes to ongoing discussions on the societal implications of AI and automation adoption.</p> <p>2. The title "Dynamics of the Digital Workforce: Assessing the Interplay and Impact of AI, Automation, and Employment Policies" effectively encapsulates the main focus and scope of the article. It succinctly communicates the subject matter and highlights the key elements of the study. Therefore, the title appears suitable as it accurately reflects the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article provides a concise overview of the research, summarizing the key points and findings effectively. However, it could be enhanced by providing more specific details about the methodologies employed and the implications of the findings. Additionally, including some quantitative results or statistical insights could make the abstract more comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript has been carefully designed to present the research findings logically and cohesively. Each subsection is appropriately organized, leading the reader through the research process from introduction to conclusion. We believe the subsections effectively delineate different aspects of the research, making it easy for readers to follow the flow of ideas.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The content is well-supported by relevant references and aligns with current understanding in the field. The arguments presented are logical and grounded in evidence-based reasoning.</p> <p>6. We have ensured that the references are recent and relevant to the topic. If you have any specific suggestions for additional references, please let us know, and we will consider incorporating them into the manuscript.</p>	

ReviewForm 1.7

Minor REVISIONcomments 1. Is language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. However, we will review the manuscript again to ensure clarity and coherence in the writing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Audrei Rodrigo da Conceição Pizolati
Department, University & Country	Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos, Brasil