

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_117124
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Greeting Card – Paper Products Produced on a Laboratory Paper Machine
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The aim of this research is to produce CCS-paper for the Sustainable Materials and Product Design departmental use at Hochschule München, University of Applied Sciences. This manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, Title of article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, Abstract is comprehensive, but major conclusion is missing, he may add major conclusion in Abstract</p> <p>4. The structure of manuscript and subsection are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The overall manuscript is well written and scientifically justified; however, the methodology section is very weak as no research technique is defined or scientifically justified. Validation of results neither mentioned in the text neither discussed with other researchers/ literature</p> <p>6. Reference are sufficient but he may add latest references i.e. 2023-2024</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English is up to the mark but there are some minor grammatical and typographical errors those should be corrected before final submission.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Validation of results neither mentioned in the text neither discussed with other researchers/ literature. Results must be validated with published literature</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Haque Tunio
Department, University & Country	Institute of Petroleum & Natural Gas Engineering, Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Pakistan