

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117520
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth of onion ( <i>Allium cepa</i> L.) cv. Pusa Shobha
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This research is important enough in the agronomy sector, especially in the cultivation of onions.</p> <p>The title is suitable enough</p> <p>The abstract shows enough explanation about the experiment design. However, the result needs to be more elaborated. Some sentences in the abstract are being repeated.</p> <p>If possible it would be better to put more subsections in the material and methods</p> <p>The manuscript has used a good enough scientific method in experiment design. However, the data analysis should be described more clearly</p> <p>Two references are very out of date (more than 30 years ago). It would be better to put more references to support some statements in the introduction.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The manuscript is written in a good standard English writing</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It needs several revisions to make this manuscript better</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>I Gde Adi Suryawan Wangiyana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pendidikan Mandalika University, Indonesia</b>