Response of spring maize to different sowing schedules and phosphorous application

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Farm, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University in 2023 to assess the performance of maize (Zea mays L.) under varying sowing schedules along with levels of phosphorus application. The experimental site featured sandy loam soil with pH of 7.34, 0.32% organic carbon content, and an electrical conductivity (EC) 0.423 ds m^{-1}. An experiment comprised different phosphorus levels, including recommended diammonium phosphate (DAP), recommended single superphosphate (SSP), 10% increase in DAP, or 10% increase in SSPapplied at three sowing dates. It followed a randomized block design with twelve treatments and three replications. The results indicated that the timing of sowings had a significant impact on all yield attributes, such as cob length (cm), number of rows/cob, number of cobs/m^2 area, grains/cob, grain yield (q/ha), biological yield (kg/ha), and harvest index (%). However, levels of phosphorus application did not exhibit a significant effect on growth and yield attributes. The best results, including taller plants (213.8 cm), a higher number of cobs per m^2 area (14), increased number of rows/cob (16), grains per cob (334.7), grain yield (45.2 q/ha), biological yield (97.8 q/ha), and harvest index (53.2 %), were achieved by sowing maize crop at the optimum sowing date (D2).

Key words: Maize; sowing schedules; levels of phosphorus; spring

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays*. L) is a native crop of Mexico that ranks third in terms of area and production among cereal crops, after rice and wheat. Corn plant is one of the most adaptable emerging crops, able to thrive in a variety of agroclimatic situations. Apart from providing essential nourishment for humans and high-quality animal feed, maize is a fundamental raw material used in numerous industrial products such as alcoholic beverages, starch, oil, protein, film, textile, gum, packaging, and paper-making industries. For numerous farmers in developing nations, maize is a significant source of revenue (Tagne et al., 2008). In addition to having a shorter growing season and the ability to use inputs more effectively, maize has the potential to produce huge amounts of food grains per unit area. It can be grown profitably two times annually, as a spring crop and an autumn crop. Given that it includes roughly 72%

starch, 10% proteins, 4.8% oil, 8.5% fibre, 3% sugar, and 1.7% ash, maize has a higher nutritional profile (Chaudhary, 1993). Farmers receive an adequate yield from it, and green stalks are used as feed.

Numerous research has already been conducted on the use of hybrids and appropriate planting dates as management tactics to boost maize output.In addition to reducing the detrimental impacts of biotic and abiotic stressors, choosing the right planting dates can increased the plant's qualitative as well as quantitative production (Koca and Canavar, 2014; Prudhvi et al., 2022; Mir et al., 2024). Precise planting schedule is critical to achieving the appropriate yield; if this is delayed, the amount of grain produced would be lower (Buriro et al., 2015). According to Kamara et al., 2009 there is a drop in the amount of dry matter production, yield, and yield parameters of maize grain when cultivation is delayed. It also lengthens the day to flowering and the interval between the development of male and female inflorescences. Postponing the planting date reduces the quantity of kernels per cob and the production of grains.

P usually ranks second position in the majority of soils when it comes to crop limitation. cell division, fat and albumen development, growth, glucose and starch utilisation, physiological and cellular processes all require it. P is frequently the initial element that limits plant growth due to its high fixing by soil particles, particularly in calcium-rich soils (Shen et al., 2011). According to studies by Li et al. (2015)less than 20% of applied P fertiliser may be consumed by crops during the initial growing period, while the balance 80%, building up in the soil and creating a sizable legacy P soil pool (Rowe et al., 2016). In unfertilized soils, phosphorus shortage is a typical problem that limits crop development and yield, particularly in soils with high calcium carbonate content, which lowers P solubility. Sufficient P promotes faster growth, earlier maturity, and higher-quality vegetative development. Insufficient phosphorus causes the kernels in maize to twist and form undersized ears, resulting in uneven and missing rows. The secret to higher and more consistent yields of crops is the delivery of essential nutrients to plants in the ideal amount and proportion, using the proper technique and timing (Yu et al., 2021). Therefore, an experiment was planned to find out optimum date of sowing and amount of phosphorus fertilization of maize crop for the condition prevailing in Punjab region.

Materials and methods

The research experiment was conducted Lovely Professional University, located in Phagwara, Punjab, during the year 2023. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture, with pH -7.34,O.C.- 0.32% and EC -0.423 with available phosphorus at 13.42 kg/ha and available potassium at 146.72 kg/ha. The experiment followed a randomized complete block design with three replications and 12 treatments comprised of T0: First date of sowing+ Recommended N (Urea) and P (DAP);T1: Second date of sowing+Recommended N (Urea) and P (DAP); T2: Third date of sowing+Recommended N (Urea) and P (DAP); T3: First date of sowing +Recommended N (Urea) and P (SSP); T4: Second date of sowing+Recommended N (Urea) and P (SSP); T5: Third date of sowing+Recommended N (Urea) and P (SSP);T6:First date of sowing + 10 percent increase than Recommended N (Urea) and P (DAP); T7: Second date of sowing+ 10 percent increase than Recommended N (Urea) and P (DAP); T8: Third date of sowing+ 10 percent increase than Recommended N (Urea) and P (DAP); T9: First date of sowing+ 10 percent increase than Recommended N (Urea) and P (SSP); T10: Second date of sowing+ 10 percent increase than Recommended N (Urea) and P (SSP); T11: Third date of sowing+ 10 percent increase than Recommended N (Urea) and P (SSP). In total, there were 12 treatments, which included three different dates of sowing (February 2nd, February 12th, and February 22nd), two different phosphorus sources, and various levels of phosphorus application.A basal dose of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) was applied. All recommended agronomic practices were implemented consistently throughout the growing season.

Results and discussion

Plant height

The plant height plays a significant role in maize production by affecting light interception, biomass production, weed competition and lodging resistance. Data pertaining to plant height (cm) 30, 60, 90 days after sowing (DAS) presented in figure1, 2 and 3indicates that sowing schedules and phosphorus application significantly affected the plant height.At 30 DAS a maximum plant height (32.63 cm) was noted in treatment T7 (which was statistically at par with T1, T4, and T10, while minimum plant height (21.73 cm) was noted in T5. At 60 DAS the highest plant height (190.47 cm) was observed in T1which was statistically at par with all treatments except T2, T6, T8 and T11 followed by lowest plant height (142.48 cm) recorded in T5. At 90DAS overall treatment mean showed that maximum plant height (213.80 cm) was recorded in T7 which was at par with T10 and T1. However, minimum plant height (153.83

cm) was obtained in T5.Maximum plant height noted 30, 60 and 90 DAS in T7 (D2+10% increase in DAP). The increase in plant height associated with phosphorus is likely due to its promotion of root development and nutrient absorption, which substantially influences overall plant growth performance and leads to taller plants.Cheema (2000) and Ayub et al. (2002) have recorded a rise in plant height (cm) following the application of nitrogen at a rate of 200 kg/ha and phosphorus ranging from 60 to 80 kg/ha.The results indicated a significant increase in plant height recorded, across all phosphorus levels when sown during the D2 and D1 dates of sowing.

Early sowing had significant effect on plant height, where plants with increased height were obtained by sowing maize earlier as compared to late planting (Rahman et al., 2004). Similarly, Shahzad et al., (2002) also reported higher plant height of wheat under timely sowing dates. Buriro et al., 2015 reported that plant height of various hybrid maize varieties varied significantly due to sowing dates, where maximum height per plant was noted in optimum date of sowing, which confirms the findings (Dekhane et al., 2017). The early sowing had significant effect on plant stature, where plants with increased height were obtained by sowing maize earlier as compared to late planting (Sarvari et al., 2007 and Alam et al., 2020). The results of various studies have shown that by delaying the planting date of corn, plant height was significantly reduced (Baum et al., 2019). Li et al., 2018 revealed that optimum date of planting of corn increased the length of the growing season, which provide more opportunity for the plant to produce nodes and increased the length of the internodes, which lead to an increase in plant height.



Figure 1.Effect of different treatments on plant height(cm) at 30 DAS



Figure 2.Effect of different treatments on plant height(cm) at 60 DAS



Figure 3.Effect of different treatments on plant height(cm) at 90 DAS

Yield attributing characters

Data pertaining to yield contributing characters like cob length (cm), number of rows per cob, number of cobs per square meter area, grains per cob are depicted in figures 4, 5, 6 and 7. The statistical analysis of the data clearly indicated that all yield attributes were affected statistically, due to different sowing schedules of maize.All yield attributes were drastically reduced with delay sowing of crop beyond February 12th (D2). The cob length was observed maximum (16.1 cm) in treatment T7. However, minimum cob length (8.5 cm) was obtained in treatment T11. Highest number of rows per cob (16) was recorded in treatment T7 which was statistically at par with all the treatments except T2, T8, T11. while lowest number of rows per cob (10.7) was noted in T5. The application of phosphorus significantly influenced yield attributes, including the number of cobs per square meter area, number of grains per cob, cob length (cm), and the number of rows per cob. Maximum yield attributes recorded T7 (D2: 10% increase in DAP). The higher value for yield attributes were due tothe effect on root development, energy transformation andmetabolic processes of plant and resulted in more translocation ofphotosynthates towards the sink development (Sepat and Rai, 2013).

Optimum planting date resulted in higher grain yield than early and late planting dates because of higher cob numbers and greater kernel numbers per plant (Gurung et al., 2018). Gurung et al., 2018 revealed that variation in yield of corn varieties at different planting dates was associated with differences in the amount of intercepted radiation.Dates of sowing were found to significantly influence the number of cobs per m^2. Amongst all treatments maximum number of cobs(14) per m^2 recorded in T6 which was statistically at par with T1, T7, T3, T4, T9, followed by minimum number of cobs (3) noted in T11. Highest number of grains per cob (334.7) recorded in T7 which was statistically at par with all the treatments except T2, T11. However, lowest number of grains per cob (223.3) recorded in T8. Hence, it is inferred from the above results that D2 (February 12th) sown crop resulted in higher values of yield attributes followed by D1 (February 2nd) and D3 (February 22nd).The results of present study were supported by the earlier findings of Alam et al., 2020. Early sown maize crop gave highest number of grains per cob and late sown maize crop gave lowest number of grains per cob (Amjadian et al., 2013). Anderson et al., 2004 found drought condition is also responsible for permanent declination of kernel number per row in maize. Therefore, grain yield mainly depends upon total ear number formed, same effect could also occur due to kernal mass reduction as the result of late planting date (Maddonni et al., 2004).



Figure 4.Effect of different treatments on cob length(cm) of maize



Figure 5.Effect of different treatments on number of rows per cob



Figure 6.Effect of different treatments on number of cobs per m^2 area



Figure 7.Effect of different treatments on number grains per cob

Grain yield, biological yield and Harvest index (HI)

The data pertaining to grain yield (q/ha), biological yield (q/ha), and harvest index (%) have been presented in figures 8, 9 and 10. It is further evident from the data that grain yield, biological yield and harvest index were affected statistically due to different dates of sowing and levels of phosphorus application.

An examination of data indicated that grain yield of maize was significantly maximum (45.2 q/ha) with February 12 (D2) sown crop which was significantly superior over rest of the dates of sowing. It is further noted that crop sown beyond February 12 (D2) reduced grain yield drastically. Significantly maximum biological yield (97.8 q/ha) noted in T10 which was statistically at par with T1, T4 and T7. However, minimum biological yield recorded in T11. Among the treatments highest value of harvest index (53.2 %) recorded in T5, while lowest value harvest index (40.9 %) recorded in T9. The results showed that sowing dates had a significant effect on yield and yield attributes of the crop.Determination of the optimum sowing date for maize is very crucial for better yields. The high grain yield obtained from optimum sowing is in agreement with the findings of Rahman et al., 2004, who reported that maize grain yield was reduced when sowing was delayed. According to findings delaying sowing reduced the test weight and, therefore, low grain yield was obtained from this planting. Rahman et al., 2004 revealed that variation in maize grain yield due to the decrease in translocation of photosynthesis to the ripening grain. Different levels of phosphorus significantly influenced the grain yield (q/ha), biological yield (q/ha) and harvest index (%). According to the experimental findings, the sources did not exhibit any notable impact.Hussain et al. (2006) reported that grain yield increased with increased phosphorus application.

The sowing dates adversely affected on yield components which ultimately caused a significant decline in grain yield/ha. Among the different dates of sowing, maximum morpho-physiological characters, yield components and yield of maize was obtained on timely sown (Alam et al., 2020). Similar results obtained by Gurung et al (2018). Shrestha et al., 2016 reported that optimum planting results higher growth rate, higher yield and its attributing characters as it was facilitated by relatively favourable temperature. Amgain 2015, recorded that delayed planting results poor yield, delayed germination and slow vegetative growth in Nepal. Optimum planting date produced higher yield than the subsequent late plantings. Late planting favored plant exposure to short growth period, more pest and disease infection, drought, cold temperature, less radiation availability etc. finally reducing grain yield (Aldrich et al. 1975, Shrestha et al., 2018). Late planting cause crop exposure to more thermal condition during its active vegetative stage which leads to over vegetative development reducing dry matter accumulation in kernel that ultimately reduces the final grain yield

(Otegui and Melon, 1997; Shrestha et al., 2018). The result are in confirmation with Jaliya et al. (2008), Namakkaet al. (2008), Aziz et al. (2007) and Khan et al. (2002)who reported that grain yield was reduced by delay in sowing. The higher seed yield with early sowing could be attributed to its beneficial influence on yield attributes because the crop has longer growth period and favourable soil moisture and temperature during crop growth period (Alam et al.



2015, Jat et al., 2019).

Figure 8.Effect of different treatments on grain yield (q/ha)



Figure 9.Effect of different treatments on biological yield (q/ha)



Figure 10.Effect of different treatments on harvest index (%)

Conclusion

The findings of the present study concluded that optimal results were achieved for all quantitative traits when hybrid corn varieties were sown on February 12th with increased levels of phosphorus application. However, any delay in sowing adversely impacted plant growth parameters and yield components, ultimately leading to a significant reduction in grain yield.

References

Alam MJ, Ahmed KS, Nahar MK, Akter S, Uddin MA. Effect of different sowing dates on the performance of maize. Journal of Krishi Vigyan; 2020. 8(2), 75-81.

Anderson SR, Lauer MJ, Schoper JB, Shibles RM. Pollination timing effects on kernel set and silk receptivity in four maize hybrids. Crop Science; 2004. 44(2), 464-473.

Amjadian M, Farshadfar M, Gholipoor M. The effects of planting date on the yield and yield components of corn (Zea mays l.) cultivar, single cross 704 in Gorgan region; 2013.

Aldrich SR, Scott WO, Lengh ER. Modern corn production. 2nd ed., A and L Publications, Champaign, III; 1975. 103-117.

Amgain LP. Application of csm- ceres-maize model for seasonal and multidecadal predictions of maize yield in under subtropical condition of Chitwan, Nepal. Journal of Maize Research and Development; 2015. 1(1), 86-97.

Ayub M, Nadeem MA, Sharar MS, Mahmood N.Response of maize (Zea mays L.) fodder to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 2002. 1(4), 352-354.

Aziz A, Rahman H, Khan N. Maize cultivar response to population density and planting dates for grain and biomass yield. Sarhad J. Agric; 2007. 23(1): 25-30.

Alam MJ, Ahmed KS, Mollah MRA, Tareq MZ, Alam MJ. Effect of planting dates on the yield of mustard seed. Int J Appli Sci & Biotechnol; 2015a. 3(4): 651-654.

Baum ME, Archontoulis SV, Licht MA. Planting date, hybrid maturity, and weather effects on maize yield and crop stage. Agronomy Journal; 2019. 111(1), 303-313.

Buriro M, Bhutto TA, Gandahi AW, Kumbhar IA, Shar MU. Effect of sowing dates on growth, yield and grain quality of hybrid maize. J Basic Appl Sci; 2015. 11, 553-8.

Chaudhary AR. Maize in Pakistan, Punjab Agri. Research Coordination Board Univ. of Agri. Faisalabad; 1993.

Cheema HNA. Yield and quality response of maize (Zea mays L.) fodder grown on different levels of phosphorus and seedling densities. M. Sc.(Hons) Thesis, Dept. Agro., Univ. Agric. Faisalabad, Pakistan, 2000.

Dekhane SS, Dumbre RB. Influence of different sowing dates on plant growth and yield of hybrid sweet corns. 2017.

Gurung DB, Bhandari B, Shrestha J, Tripathi M P. Productivity of maize as affected by varieties and sowing dates. Int J AppliBiolog; 2018. 2(2): 2580-2119.

Hussain N, Khan AZ, Akbar H, Akhtar S. 2006. Growth factors and yield of maize as influenced by phosphorus and potash fertilization. Sarhad J. Agric, 2006.22(4): 579-583.

Jaliya MM, Falaki AM, Mahmud M, Sani YA. Effect of sowing date and NPK fertilizer rate on yield and yield components of quality protein maize (Zea mays L.). ARPN J. Agric. Bio. Sci.; 2008. 3(2): 23-29.

Jat AL, Desai AG, Rathore BS. Effect of different sowing schedule and crop geometry on productivity and profitability of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.). J Oilseed Res; 2019. 36, 17-19.

Koca YO, Canavar O. The effect of sowing date on yield and yield components and seed quality of corn (Zea mays L.). Sci Papers Series A Agron; 2014. 57, 227-223.

Kamara AY, Ekeleme F, Chikoye D, Omoigui L O. Planting date and cultivar effects on grain yield in dryland corn production. Agronomy Journal; 2009. 101(1), 91-98.

Khan H, Arif M, Gul R, Ahmad N, Khan IA. Effect of sowing dates on maize cultivars. Sarhad J. Agric; 2002. 18(1): 11-15.

Li H, Liu J, Li G, Shen J, Bergstrom, L, Zhang F. Past, present, and future use of phosphorus in Chinese agriculture and its influence on phosphorus losses. Ambio, 44, 274-285; (2015).

Li Z, Zhang X, Zhao Y, Li Y, Zhang G, Peng Z, Zhang J. Enhancing auxin accumulation in maize root tips improves root growth and dwarfs plant height. Plant Biotechnology Journal, 2018. 16(1), 86-99.

Maddonni GA, Otegui ME, Bonhomme R. Grain yield components in maize: II. Postsilking growth and kernel weight. Field Crops Research; 2004. 56(3), 257-264.

Namakka A, Abubakar IU, Sadik IA, Sharifai AI, Hassas AH. Effect of sowing date and nitrogen level on yield and yield components of two extra early maize varieties (Zea mays L.) in Sudan savanna of Nigeria. Journal of agricultural and biological science; 2008. 3(2), 1-5.

Otegui ME, Melon S. Kernel set and flower synchrony within the ear of maize: I. Sowing date effects. Crop Science; 1997. 37, 441-447.

Rowe H, Withers PJ, Baas P, Chan NI, Doody D, Holiman J, Weintraub MN. Integrating legacy soil phosphorus into sustainable nutrient management strategies for future food, bioenergy and water security. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems; 2016. 104, 393-412.

Rahman AA, Magboul EL, Nour AE. Effects of sowing date and cultivar on the yield and yield components of maize in northern Sudan. In Int Appr to Higher Maize Pro in the New Millennium: Proceedings of the Seventh Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference, Nairobi, Kenya; 2004. 295, 5-11.

Shahzad K, Bakht J, Shah WA, Shafi M, Jabeen N. Yield and yield components of various wheat cultivars as affected by different sowing dates. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences; 2002.

Sarvari M, Molnar Z, Hallof N. Influence of different sowing time and nutrient supply on the productivity of maize hybrids. Analele University din Oradea, Department of Plant Production and Applied Ecology; 2007. 4(12), 134-141.

Sepat S, Rai RK. Effect of phosphorus levels and sources on productivity, nutrient uptake and soil fertility of maize (Zea mays) wheat (Triticum aestivum) cropping system. Indian journal of agronomy, 2013, 58(3), 292-297.

Shrestha U, Amgain L P, Karki TB, Dahal KR, Shrestha J. Effect of sowing dates and maize cultivars in growth and yield of maize along with their agro-climatic indices in Nawalparasi, Nepal. Journal of AgriSearch; 2016. 3(1), 57-62.

Shen J, Yuan L, Zhang J, Li H, Bai Z, Chen X, Zhang F. Phosphorus dynamics: from soil to plant. Plant physiology; 2011. 156(3), 997-1005.

Tagne A, Feujio TP, Sonna C. Essential oil and plant extracts as potential substitutes to synthetic fungicides in the control of fungi. In International Conference Diversifying crop protection; 2008. 12-15.

Yu X, Keitel C, Dijkstra FA. Global analysis of phosphorus fertilizer use efficiency in cereal crops. Global Food Security, 2021. 29, 100545.

Prudhvi, N., & Mohan Mehta, C. (2022). Effect of Nitrogen Levels on the Growth and Yield of Spring Maize. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 12(8), 57–64. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2022/v12i830722

Mir , S. A., Ahmad , L., Qayoom , K., Rasool, F. U., Mir , A. H., Bhat , R. A., Bhat , O. A., Ahmad, R., & Pandit , B. A. (2024). Phenology and Climate Indices of Maize Hybrids under North Western Himalayan Region of Temperate Kashmir. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 14(3), 520–526. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i34061