

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117191
Title of the Manuscript:	Study On Evaluating Genetic Variability of Gladiolus (Gladiolus grandiflorus L.) Cultivars Under Agro-Climatic Conditions of Prayagraj
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The document provides interesting information in the agricultural area; However, it requires a thorough review by the authors, reviewing the writing and grammar. Besides taking care of the style of the whole document in general</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate, according to the information presented throughout the document.</p> <p>3. Yes, the summary highlights relevant aspects of the work; However, the wording could be improved without repeating information. There must be more order and coherence.</p> <p>4. The sections seem adequate; However, care should be taken with the layout of the document (font and font size), regardless of whether sections should be highlighted or bold.</p> <p>5. The work can be improved both in the background and in the form of writing. Review the wording of the information; Some conditions or errors observed are marked in the document (in color) that the authors must review, analyze and make the corresponding modifications.</p> <p>6. The references seem to be sufficient; However, they should be written taking care of the style /form (according to the guidelines suggested by the journal), both in each of the paragraphs they support, and at the end of the list of references.</p> <p>Conclusions should be concise (without repeating information), orderly and consistent.</p> <p>It is important to consider the editorial guidelines, and as an example the following conditions may apply at work: Supplementation of the chicken feed with 200 mg ascorbic acid per kg increased growth and FCR [6,8,9]. According to Sahin et al. [10], supplementation with vitamin cor chromium led to improved body weight gain, feed efficiency, and carcass characteristics and reduced blood levels of corticosterone and mda. Sujatha et al. [11] found that oxidative stress in broilers could be relieved...</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is suggested to review the conclusions, they should be concise, without repeating information that appears in the summary, discussion and conclusions - they are presented in the same way</p> <p>Necessary suggestions to carry out</p> <p>Reference to a journal: 1. Olagunju AT, Morgan JA, Aftab A, Gatchel JR, Chen P, Dols A, Sajatovic M, Regenold WT. A Review of the Evidence Base for Nutrition and Nutritional Supplements in Older Adults with Bipolar Disorder: A report from the OABD task force. J Frailty Aging. 2021;10(3):241-246. doi: 10.14283/jfa.2020.64. 2. Boyle NB, Lawton C, Dye L. The Effects of Magnesium Supplementation on Subjective Anxiety and Stress-A Systematic Review. Nutrients. 2017;9(5):429. doi: 10.3390/nu9050429.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	Reference to a book: 3. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003. Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles. 4. Hugo JT, Mondal SC. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006; 16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14 .	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Valladares Carranza
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, México