

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_117016</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The study evaluated the potential viability of seeds from various types of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I have few points that should be taken into consideration by the authors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The formula(s) should be numbered.</li> <li>- The references (and not reference as mentioned in the References list) should be inserted in the text with increased order such as: [11], [12], [13]..... and not [11], [17], [12]</li> <li>- Usually, if the authors want to use reference in the text, they should starting by the family name et al. only such as Liu et al. and not H. Liu. et al.</li> <li>- Paragraphs should not be too long such as the Introduction and Results and Discussion. They should be divided to make it easiest for the readers.</li> <li>- Results and Discussion need to be more clarified. Usually, in the discussion, the ideas should be arranged following the obtained results and not referring to other experiments.</li> <li>- The references should be listed in the same format: The reference (7) is not like the others concerning the year (2016) The author's names should be either initial name then family name, or family name followed by initial name, or family name followed by the first letter of the initial name: Reference (5, 11, 13, 14, 15, and 16): Abbreviated initial names, Reference (9): Initial name followed by family name.</li> </ul>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Milad Khatib</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ISSAE-CNAM, Lebanon</b>