

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_116944
Title of the Manuscript:	Consumer Preferences for Turmeric Quality Attributes: A Conjoint Analysis in Chamarajanagar District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Overall, this manuscript has most contributes</p> <p>The article's title is appropriate based on the content of the research paper. The title accurately reflects the main focus of the research.</p> <p>An article abstract should be comprehensive because it provides a clear picture of the research objectives, primary findings, and implications.</p> <p>The manuscript has included appropriate subsections and structure to organize the content effectively.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript appears scientific. By including additional methodological details and addressing potential limitations, the scientific rigor of the study can be further strengthened.</p> <p>By including a list of references and citing relevant sources from recent literature, manuscripts can strengthen the credibility of research findings and provide readers with additional resources to further explore the topic.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall, the language quality of the article appears appropriate for scholarly communication, with room for minor improvement to further enhance the clarity and precision of the content.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript appears scientifically</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Widaryanti
Department, University & Country	Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Pelita Nusantara, Indonesia