

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_117425</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Expert opinion on the prescription practice of cefpodoxime in the management of respiratory tract infections in Indian settings</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Manuscript is important for the scientific community. The manuscript gather expert opinion on the use of cefpodoxime in the management of respiratory tract infections (RTIs) in Indian settings.</p> <p>The title of article is suitable/ appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive, because there is no background</p> <p>Every section of the manuscript is appropriate, but there is no background in the abstract.</p> <p>I think the manuscript is correct scientifically</p> <p>The references are sufficient</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The quality of articles in language/English is not suitable for scientific communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is quite good and worthy, but revisions to the abstract are still needed in the form of additional background.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Siti Mutoharoh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Muhammadiyah Gombong, Indonesia</b>